

Figure: 19 TAC §97.1005(b)

Excerpted Sections of the PBMAS 2011 Manual

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Section II

Components of the 2011 PBMAS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Data Sources

Data used in the PBMAS come from a variety of sources. Student assessment data are obtained from data sets produced by the Student Assessment Division of the agency. Data obtained from other divisions within TEA include the list of dropouts and longitudinal graduation data from the Division of Accountability Research; participation and annual graduation data from the Performance Reporting Division; and Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data from the Enterprise Data Management Division. The data source for each performance indicator is included as a part of the explanation of each indicator in this manual.

The calculations for each indicator are based on the most current data available and, for ease of understanding, are presented in this manual as single-year calculations. In certain instances, however, multiple years of data are combined in order to meet minimum size requirements. (See *Minimum Size Requirements and Special Analysis*.)

Filters

At times, there are unique circumstances concerning the evaluation of a district on a particular performance indicator. For example, a residential facility for students with disabilities in a particular district is likely to increase the percentage of students served in special education programs. As such, it may be appropriate to apply certain filters to the data sets before performance indicators are calculated. Any filters applied to data sets used to calculate performance indicators are included in the description of the indicator. In addition, Texas Education Code, §39.054(f) and §39.055, require that the performance of students in certain settings be excluded from districts' student performance determinations, and those exclusions have been applied to the PBMAS calculations.

Accountability Subset

Students who are enrolled in a district on October 29, 2010 and test in the same district in the spring of 2011 are considered to be in the "accountability subset" while students who are enrolled in a district on October 29, 2010 but not enrolled in the same district for 2011 spring testing are not in the accountability subset. Whether the accountability subset is used for a particular indicator is noted in the description of the indicator.

No Data Available for an Indicator

A district with no data available for evaluation receives a designation of *No Data*, meaning the district cannot be evaluated because of an absence of data. For example, if a district has no migrant students to report in a particular year's data collection, then for any migrant indicator based on that data collection, the PBMAS report for the district will indicate a performance level (PL) of *No Data*. Districts with one or more PL designations of *No Data* should examine their local data collection and submission procedures as well as the Data Source section for each PBMAS indicator to confirm the accuracy of the *No Data* designation. It is the ongoing responsibility of districts to ensure students are coded correctly for both PEIMS and student assessment data. In addition, data validation analyses and reviews are conducted by the agency as part of its performance-based monitoring activities.

Rounding

All PBMAS calculations are rounded to one decimal place; for example, 79.877% is rounded to 79.9%.

Masking

District data are released to each school district and charter as allowed under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). PBMAS data released to the public are masked to protect student confidentiality.

Standards and Performance Levels

A performance level (PL) is the result that occurs when a standard is applied to a district's performance on an indicator. The performance levels available for indicators in the 2011 PBMAS include *Not Assigned (NA)* (including *Not Assigned through SA, PJSA, or DI*), *0, 0 SA, 0 PJSA, 0 RI, 1, 1 SA, 1 PJSA, 2, 2 SA, 2 PJSA, 3, 3 SA, or 3 PJSA*. SA refers to special analysis, while PJSA refers to professional judgment special analysis; both are described in the *Minimum Size Requirements and Special Analysis* section below. RI refers to Required Improvement, which is also described in a separate section below. DI refers to data integrity and is used in combination with the NA performance level to address situations where compromised data render any other performance level impossible to determine.

A performance level of *0* is the highest designation for any indicator, meaning the district met the standard for the indicator. A performance level of *3* is the lowest designation, indicating the district performance was farthest from the *0 - Met Standard* designation. The performance level thresholds are typically referred to as cut-points.

Types of Standards

Absolute standards are tied to an absolute requirement or goal that all districts have the possibility of achieving each year. The state accountability system provides absolute standards to which PBMAS standards can be aligned for certain TAKS performance indicators. The standards, by subject, for a rating of *Academically Acceptable* in the 2011 state accountability system are as follows:

TAKS Subject	Percent of Students Passing
Mathematics	65%
Reading/ELA*	70%
Science	60%
Social Studies	70%
Writing	70%

* English Language Arts (ELA)

Standards for PBMAS TAKS performance indicators are aligned with these state accountability standards. A district that achieves the *Academically Acceptable* performance standard for a TAKS subject-area test receives a PBMAS performance level assignment of *0 – Met Standard* for that TAKS subject-area indicator in PBMAS. In standard PBMAS analysis, a district with performance up to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard receives a performance level assignment of *1*, and a district with performance 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard receives a performance level assignment of *2*. Any district with performance 20.1 or more percentage points below the state accountability standard receives a performance level of *3*, the lowest designation in the PBMAS. The following chart summarizes the assignment of performance levels for the PBMAS TAKS performance indicators:

District TAKS Passing Rate Compared to PBMAS Standards				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA and NA PJSA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA, 0 PJSA, and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA and 1 PJSA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA and 2 PJSA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA and 3 PJSA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. (Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.)	The district TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

Relative standards are not tied to an absolute requirement or goal. Rather, they are typically based on the distribution of scores of the population being evaluated. While absolute standards are preferred, they are not always possible to determine with a new indicator and may not always be appropriate depending on the purpose of a particular indicator. Relative standards may be used in the PBMAS to determine a baseline absolute standard for certain indicators.

Changes to PBMAS Standards and Cut-Points

As part of the annual PBMAS development cycle, the standards and cut-points for each PBMAS indicator are evaluated. A decision to increase standards or cut-points for one or more indicators is based on a careful consideration of the following:

- whether a state or federal goal has been identified for the indicator;
- performance of the state on each indicator at the time standards and cut-points are set;
- expected and actual improvement on the indicator over time;
- amount of improvement that is reasonable for the indicator;
- overall impact on the PBMAS of increased standards and cut-points;
- the PBMAS system’s guiding principles;
- other considerations that could affect performance on particular indicators;
- appropriate standards and cut-points across similar indicators; and
- internal and external input.

The table below identifies the PBMAS performance level (PL) 0 standards for 2010 and 2011, as well as anticipated standards for 2012. However, all standards are subject to change, including changes that may be necessary as a result of state or federal priorities and requirements.

PBMAS STANDARDS				
<i>TBD = To Be Determined; LEP = Limited English Proficient</i>	PBMAS PL 0 Standards by Year			
		2010	2011	2012
Indicators Across All Program Areas				
TAKS Passing Rate Indicators	Subject			
	Mathematics	60.0%	65.0%	TBD
	Reading/ELA	70.0%	70.0%	TBD
	Science	55.0%	60.0%	TBD
	Social Studies	70.0%	70.0%	TBD
	Writing	70.0%	70.0%	
Annual Dropout Rate Indicators (Grades 7-12)		2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Annual Dropout Rate Indicators (Grades 9-12) <small>LEP Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 9-12) is Report Only in 2011.</small>		3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
Graduation Rate Indicators		75.0%	75.0%	75.0%
Bilingual Education (BE)/English as a Second Language (ESL) Indicators				
LEP Participation Rate		90.0%	90.0%	90.0%
LEP Recommended High School Program (RHSP)/Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) Diploma Rate		70.0%	70.0%	70.0%
Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) Reading Multi-Year Beginning Proficiency Level Rate		3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
TELPAS Composite Rating Levels for Students in U.S. Schools Multiple Years		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
Career and Technical Education (CTE) Indicators				
CTE RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate		70.0%	70.0%	70.0%
Nontraditional Course Completion Rate-Males		40.0%	40.0%	TBD
Nontraditional Course Completion Rate-Females		35.0%	35.0%	TBD

PBMAS STANDARDS				
<i>TBD = To Be Determined; LEP = Limited English Proficient</i>	PBMAS PL 0 Standards by Year			
		2010	2011	2012
No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act Indicators				
Title I, Part A RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate		Report Only	70.0%	70.0%
Migrant RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate		70.0%	70.0%	70.0%
Special Education Indicators				
TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) Participation Rate		50.0%	50.0%	TBD
TAKS-M Participation Rate		20.0%	20.0%	TBD
TAKS-Alt Participation Rate		10.0%	10.0%	TBD
3-5 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate		16.0%	16.0%	16.0%
6-11 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate		40.0%	40.0%	40.0%
12-21 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate		65.0%	65.0%	65.0%
Special Education RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate		16.5%	16.5%	20.0%
Special Education Representation		8.5%	8.5%	8.5%
African American (Not Hispanic/Latino) Representation		1.0 percentage point	1.0 percentage point	1.0 percentage point
Hispanic Representation		1.0 percentage point	1.0 percentage point	1.0 percentage point
LEP Representation		1.0 percentage point	1.0 percentage point	1.0 percentage point
Discretionary Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP) Placements		1.0 percentage point	1.0 percentage point	1.0 percentage point
Discretionary Placements to In-School Suspension (ISS)		10.0 percentage points	10.0 percentage points	10.0 percentage points
Discretionary Placements to Out-of-School Suspension (OSS)		6.0 percentage points	6.0 percentage points	6.0 percentage points

Special note for 2012: Districts should anticipate that monitoring requirements will be implemented in accordance with state and federal law. Recommendations for the 2012 PBMAS, including appropriate student assessment indicators, will be reviewed with the PBM Focus Group in the fall of 2011.

Report Only Indicators

Some PBMAS indicators are reported for district information and planning purposes. For these indicators, the district performance will be reported along with the overall state rate for the indicator. No minimum size requirements are applied, and no performance levels are set for these indicators.

After a period of two years, performance levels are typically assigned to Report Only indicators, and district performance on these indicators will be evaluated. The inclusion of Report Only indicators in PBMAS provides districts with an opportunity to review current performance and plan ahead.

Minimum Size Requirements and Special Analysis

A minimum size requirement (MSR) is incorporated into all indicators that are assigned a performance level (PL). In general, districts must have at least thirty (30) students in the relevant segment of the student population denominator to be evaluated on an indicator using the standard PBMAS analysis. In addition, for certain PBMAS indicators districts must have at least five (5), ten (10), or thirty (30) students in the relevant segment of the student population numerator to be evaluated. The minimum size requirements are noted in the description of each indicator.


Minimum size requirements can be met either in the current year or through the aggregation of numerators and denominators over the most recent two years when the previous year's data are available. If the minimum size requirement is met for a particular performance indicator, then a district is evaluated using the standard PBMAS analysis. Under standard analysis, when minimum size requirements are met with the current year's data, a performance level is assigned based on that data in relation to the standard for the indicator. When minimum size requirements are met based on the most recent two years of data, the numerator and denominator for the current and prior years are aggregated, the indicator is calculated, and a performance level is assigned based on the current year's standard for the indicator. Depending on the particular indicator, there may be one or two prior years of data that are aggregated with the current year's data to assign a performance level. If the minimum size requirement is not met, then the district is evaluated under a process called "special analysis," which is described below.

There is one exception to the minimum size requirement. If a district does not meet the minimum size requirement for an indicator, but the performance of the district meets the criteria to earn a performance level of *0 – Met Standard*, then the district receives a performance level of *0*, regardless of the number of students in the relevant segment of the student population.

Special analysis is a tool that can be used to analyze the performance of districts and charters that do not meet the minimum size requirements. Performance levels established using the special analysis process will have "SA" or "PJSA" appended (*NA SA, NA PJSA, 0 SA, 0 PJSA, 1 SA, 1 PJSA, 2 SA, 2 PJSA, 3 SA, 3 PJSA*) and will be included on PBMAS reports to districts and charters.

The flowcharts on the following pages depict the process for determining whether standard or special analysis (including professional judgment special analysis) is applied in the PBMAS:


2011 PBMAS Performance Level Assignment and Special Analysis Determination Process



Note: For indicators eligible for the PBMAS special analysis process that have minimum size requirements in both the denominator and the numerator, a district's group size is determined by the *smallest* denominator or numerator over the most recent two years.


¹ If no current year data are available, then PL = No Data.

**2011 PBMAS Performance Level Assignment and Special Analysis Process for Group Size of
15-29 (sum of most current two years)**



* Certain key performance indicators in PBMAS have been identified as indicators for which professional judgment special analysis (PJSA) is available. In PJSA, data for multiple years are analyzed by program-area staff at the agency, and professional judgment is applied. Depending on the indicator, there may be two or three years of data available for analysis. Because of time limitations, PJSA is not available for all indicators. The scope of PJSA is described in the Minimum Size Requirement section for each indicator.

**2011 PBMAS Performance Level Assignment and Special Analysis Process for Group Size of
5-14 (sum of most current two years)**



* Certain key performance indicators in PBMAS have been identified as indicators for which professional judgment special analysis (PJSA) is available. In PJSA, data for multiple years are analyzed by program-area staff at the agency, and professional judgment is applied. Depending on the indicator, there may be two or three years of data available for analysis. Because of time limitations, PJSA is not available for all indicators. The scope of PJSA is described in the Minimum Size Requirement section for each indicator.

Required Improvement

The PBMAS, by design, has a built-in improvement component. Because the system includes a range of performance levels, districts that demonstrate improvement from one year to the next can progress from one performance level (PL) to another. For example, a district with 65% of its migrant students passing the TAKS social studies test in 2010 received a PL 1. If that same district is able to improve its migrant TAKS social studies passing rate to 70% in 2011, it would receive a PL 0 because its performance meets the 2011 PL 0 standard.

In addition to the system's built-in improvement component, the 2011 PBMAS will again include a "required improvement" component for certain indicators. The "Notes" section for each indicator described in Sections III – VI of this manual will indicate if required improvement is available for the indicator. Examples of the PBMAS required improvement calculations are provided on the next two pages.

Required Improvement Calculations

For the TAKS passing rate indicators where required improvement is available, the following calculation will be used for districts that meet minimum size requirements in both the current and previous year and have a performance level that is not equal to *0 – Met Standard*:

Actual Change:

Required Improvement:

$$[\text{performance in 2011}] - [\text{performance in 2010}] \geq \frac{[\text{standard for 2011}] - [\text{performance in 2010}]}{[\text{number of years to reach standard}]}$$

EXAMPLE OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT USING NCLB INDICATOR #5(i): Migrant TAKS Passing Rate (Mathematics)

1. First, calculate the **Actual Change** for the district’s migrant TAKS mathematics passing rate:

Actual Change:

$$\begin{array}{r} [50.0\%] - [46.0\%] \\ (2011) \quad (2010) \end{array} = 4.0$$

2. Next, calculate the **Required Improvement** for the district’s migrant TAKS mathematics passing rate:

Required Improvement:

$$\frac{[65.0\%] - [46.0\%]}{5 (2015-2010)} = 3.8$$

Note: The 2015 target year allows districts four years beyond 2011 to reach the 2011 standard. This target year is the same for all TAKS passing rate indicators where required improvement is available.

3. Then compare the two numbers to see if the **Actual Change** is greater than or equal to the **Required Improvement**: $4.0 > 3.8$. (Gains in passing rates are measured in *positive* numbers.)

4. Result: the district meets **Required Improvement** and receives a performance level of *0 RI – Met Standard*.

For all other indicators for which required improvement is available, the only difference in the required improvement calculation is that the number of years to reach the standard is two. In addition, note that the calculation below measures *reductions* in rates which are measured in *negative* numbers. The actual change in special education representation needs to be *less than or equal to Required Improvement* for the standard to be met.

Actual Change:

Required Improvement:

$$[performance\ in\ 2011] - [performance\ in\ 2010] \leq \frac{[standard\ for\ 2011] - [performance\ in\ 2010]}{[number\ of\ years\ to\ reach\ standard]}$$

EXAMPLE OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT USING SPECIAL EDUCATION INDICATOR #12: SPED Representation	
1. First, calculate the Actual Change for the district’s SPED representation rate:	<p><u>Actual Change:</u></p> $\frac{[15.0\%] - [25.0\%]}{(2011) \quad (2010)} = -10.0$
2. Next, calculate the Required Improvement for the district’s SPED representation rate:	<p><u>Required Improvement:</u></p> $\frac{[8.5\%] - [25.0\%]}{2 \ (2012-2010)} = -8.25$
3. Then compare the two numbers to see if the Actual Change is less than or equal to the Required Improvement : $-10.0 < -8.25$. (Reductions in representation rates are measured in <i>negative</i> numbers.)	
4. Result: the district meets Required Improvement and receives a performance level of <i>0 RI – Met Standard</i> .	

System Safeguards

System safeguard activities are conducted by the agency to ensure the integrity of the performance-based monitoring system. These activities include data validation analyses of leaver data, student assessment data, and discipline data as well as random or other means of selection to verify system effectiveness and districts' implementation of monitoring requirements, including, but not limited to, accuracy of data reporting, implementation of intervention activities, implementation of plans for improvement or correction, and accuracy of findings made through the performance-based monitoring process.

Monitoring Interventions

The Program Monitoring and Interventions (PMI) Division will notify each district selected for a 2011 PBMAS intervention via the Intervention Stage and Activity Manager (ISAM) on the Texas Education Agency Secure Environment (TEASE). The PMI Division will inform districts that intervention stages have been posted to ISAM by posting a "To the Administrator Addressed" letter on the TEA web page for correspondence or sending a "To the Administrator Addressed" letter via electronic mail or first-class mail. It is the district's obligation to access the correspondence from the PMI Division by (a) subscribing to the listserv for "To the Administrator Addressed" correspondence; and (b) accessing the ISAM system as directed to retrieve intervention instructions and information.

Performance-based monitoring interventions determinations are based on PBMAS performance levels and other criteria as well as results of the data validation analyses described above. Sanctions are applied as necessary and according to state and federal law and Texas Administrative Code (TAC), including, but not limited to, Texas Education Code, Chapter 39.

Additional information related to performance-based monitoring interventions is reflected in 19 TAC §97.1071, Special Program Performance; Intervention Stages, available at <http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/rules/tac/index.html>. Also available on this rules link is the text of 19 TAC §97.1055, Accreditation Status, which describes how deficiencies in a district's programs monitored under the PBMAS may affect a district's accreditation status.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Sections III - VI

Performance
Indicators

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

SECTION III:

Bilingual Education (BE)/
English as a Second
Language (ESL) Indicators

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

BE/ESL Indicator #1(i-v): BE English TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Bilingual Education (BE) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing) in English.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district BE English passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District BE passing rate for an English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of BE students who passed the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of BE students who took the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 BE English TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) **and** also reported by the district as participating in a state-approved **bilingual** program on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**Bilingual** program indicator codes **2, 3, 4, or 5**).

NOTES

- The BE English TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
1(i)	Mathematics	3-11
1(ii)	Reading/ELA	3-11
1(iii)	Science	5, 8, 10, 11
1(iv)	Social Studies	8, 10, 11
1(v)	Writing	4, 7

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable.
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district BE English TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #1(i-v): District BE English TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district BE English TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district BE English TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district BE English TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district BE English TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
1(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
1(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
1(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
1(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
1(v)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

BE/ESL Indicator #2(i-v): ESL English TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of English as a Second Language (ESL) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing) in English.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district ESL English passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District ESL passing rate for an English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of ESL students who passed the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of ESL students who took the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 ESL English TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) **and** also reported by the district as participating in a state-approved **ESL** program on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**ESL** program indicator codes **2 or 3**).

NOTES

- The ESL English TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
2(i)	Mathematics	3-11
2(ii)	Reading/ELA	3-11
2(iii)	Science	5, 8, 10, 11
2(iv)	Social Studies	8, 10, 11
2(v)	Writing	4, 7

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable.
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #2(i-v): District ESL English TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
2(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
2(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
2(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
2(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
2(v)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

BE/ESL Indicator #3(i-iv): BE Spanish TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Bilingual Education (BE) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading, Science, Writing) in Spanish.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district BE Spanish passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District BE passing rate for a Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of BE students who passed the Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of BE students who took the Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 BE Spanish TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as participating in a state-approved **bilingual** program on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**Bilingual** program indicator codes **2, 3, 4, or 5**).

NOTES

- The BE Spanish TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated)** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
3(i)	Mathematics	3-5
3(ii)	Reading	3-5
3(iii)	Science	5
3(iv)	Writing	4

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Second administrations of Grade 5 reading and mathematics are included.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #3(i-iv): District BE Spanish TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
3(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
3(ii)	Reading	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
3(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
3(iv)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

BE/ESL Indicator #4(i-iv): ESL Spanish TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of English as a Second Language (ESL) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading, Science, Writing) in Spanish.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district ESL Spanish passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\frac{\text{District ESL passing rate for a Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test}}{\text{District number of ESL students who passed the Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}} = \frac{\text{District number of ESL students who passed the Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of ESL students who took the Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 ESL Spanish TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as participating in a state-approved **ESL** program on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**ESL** program indicator codes **2 or 3**).

NOTES

- The ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated)** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
4(i)	Mathematics	3-5
4(ii)	Reading	3-5
4(iii)	Science	5
4(iv)	Writing	4

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Second administrations of Grade 5 reading and mathematics are included.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #4(i-iv): District ESL Spanish TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
4(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
4(ii)	Reading	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
4(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
4(iv)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

BE/ESL Indicator #5(i-v): LEP (Not Served in BE/ESL) TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of limited English proficient students *not* served in a Bilingual Education (BE) or English as a Second Language (ESL) program passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district LEP (not served in BE/ESL) passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District LEP (not served in BE/ESL) passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-v)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of LEP students (not served in BE/ESL) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of LEP students (not served in BE/ESL) who took the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP (not served in BE/ESL) TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) **and** also reported by the district as **LEP** but *not* participating in a state-approved bilingual or English as a second language program on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**LEP = C and Bilingual = 0 and ESL = 0**).

NOTES

- The LEP (not served in BE/ESL) TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-AIt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
5(i)	Mathematics	3-11
5(ii)	Reading/ELA	3-11
5(iii)	Science	5, 8, 10, 11
5(iv)	Social Studies	8, 10, 11
5(v)	Writing	4, 7

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator
- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable.
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.
- Spanish versions of TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) are included.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district LEP (not served in BE/ESL) TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #5(i-v): District LEP (not served in BE/ESL) TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district LEP (not served in BE/ESL) TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district LEP (not served in BE/ESL) TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district LEP (not served in BE/ESL) TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district LEP (not served in BE/ESL) TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
5(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
5(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
5(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
5(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
5(v)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

BE/ESL Indicator #6(i-v): LEP Year-After-Exit (YAE) English TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of certain former limited English proficient (LEP) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing) in English.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district LEP YAE English passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District LEP YAE passing rate for an English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of students in their first year of monitoring who passed the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of students in their first year of monitoring who took the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP YAE English TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents as having met the criteria for BE/ESL program exit, no longer classified as LEP in PEIMS, and in their first year of monitoring (**MI** indicator code).

NOTES

- The LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
6(i)	Mathematics	3-11
6(ii)	Reading/ELA	3-11
6(iii)	Science	5, 8, 10, 11
6(iv)	Social Studies	8, 10, 11
6(v)	Writing	4, 7

- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable.
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #6(i-v): District LEP YAE English TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
6(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
6(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
6(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
6(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
6(v)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

BE/ESL Indicator #7: LEP Participation Rate

This indicator measures the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students taking TAKS in every subject (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district LEP participation rate:

$$\text{District LEP participation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of LEP students (Grades 3-11) tested on TAKS for all subjects in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of LEP students (Grades 3-11) for whom a student assessment answer document or finalized TAKS-Alt assessment was submitted in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP students (Grades 3-11) for whom a student assessment answer document or finalized TAKS-Alt assessment was submitted in spring 2011.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the participation of students reported as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as **LEP** on the spring 2011 student assessment answer documents (**LEP** indicator code = C).

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Second administrations of TAKS Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable. Spanish versions of TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) are included. The accountability subset is used.
- Students who are recent immigrants with limited English proficiency and who qualify for and take a one-time LEP postponement of the exit level TAKS tests according to 19 TAC §101.1005 are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- LEP exempt students taking a linguistically accommodated administration of TAKS are not considered participants for the purposes of this indicator and therefore are not included in the numerator.
- The LEP participation rate is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Subject Test	Grade Levels
Mathematics	3-11
Reading/ELA	3-11
Science	5, 8, 10, 11
Social Studies	8, 10, 11
Writing	4, 7

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district LEP participation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #7: District LEP Participation Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district LEP participation rate is 90.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district LEP participation rate is between 82.0% and 89.9%.	The district LEP participation rate is between 70.0% and 81.9%.	The district LEP participation rate is 69.9% or lower.

BE/ESL Indicator #8: LEP Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)

This indicator measures the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district Grades 7-12 LEP annual dropout rate:

$$\text{District Grades 7-12 LEP annual dropout rate} = \frac{\text{District number of LEP students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of LEP students (Grades 7-12) in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP students (Grades 7-12) in attendance and at least 5 LEP dropouts in 2009-2010.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of LEP dropouts are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Dropout data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Grades 7-12 LEP annual dropout rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #8: District LEP Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district Grades 7-12 LEP annual dropout rate is 2.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Grades 7-12 LEP annual dropout rate is between 2.1% and 4.0%.	The district Grades 7-12 LEP annual dropout rate is between 4.1% and 7.0%.	The district Grades 7-12 LEP annual dropout rate is 7.1% or higher.

BE/ESL Indicator #9: LEP Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 9-12)

This indicator measures the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students (Grades 9-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district Grades 9-12 LEP annual dropout rate:

$$\text{District Grades 9-12 LEP annual dropout rate} = \frac{\text{District number of LEP students (Grades 9-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of LEP students (Grades 9-12) in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process do not apply to this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of LEP dropouts are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- This is a Report Only indicator for 2011. The district Grades 9-12 LEP annual dropout rate is reported for district information and planning purposes. No performance levels are assigned for this indicator in 2011.
- Dropout data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

BE/ESL Indicator #10: LEP RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate

This indicator measures the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of LEP students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma:

$$\text{District LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate} = \frac{\text{District number of LEP students who graduated with a RHSP or DAP diploma in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of LEP students who graduated in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP graduates.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the number of **LEP** graduates reported by the district in the PEIMS fall 2010 submission (203 Record) who earned a **RHSP (graduation codes 15, 19, 22, 25, or 28)** or **DAP (graduation codes 17, 20, 23, 26, or 29)** diploma.

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- A graduate is counted as a LEP graduate if Element E0790 (LEP indicator code) on any 400 or 500 Record submitted for the student contains a 1.
- Graduation data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #10: District LEP RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 70.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 50.0% and 69.9%.	The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 30.1% and 49.9%.	The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 30.0% or lower.

BE/ESL Indicator #11: LEP Graduation Rate

This indicator measures the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students who graduated with a high school diploma in four years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of LEP students graduating with a high school diploma in four years:

$$\text{District LEP graduation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of LEP students in the Grade 9 cohort who graduated with a high school diploma by 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of LEP students in the class of 2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP students in the class of 2010 cohort.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the LEP graduation rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of LEP cohort members are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- ***New!*** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Graduation data are for the class of 2010.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district LEP graduation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #11: District LEP Graduation Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district LEP graduation rate is 75.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district LEP graduation rate is between 65.0% and 74.9%.	The district LEP graduation rate is between 40.0% and 64.9%.	The district LEP graduation rate is 39.9% or lower.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

BE/ESL Indicator #12: TELPAS Reading Multi-Year Beginning Proficiency Level Rate

This indicator measures the percent of LEP students performing at the beginning proficiency level on the TELPAS reading test for multiple years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district TELPAS reading multi-year beginning proficiency level rate:

$$\text{District TELPAS reading multi-year beginning proficiency level rate} = \frac{\text{District number of LEP students in Grades 2-12 scoring at the beginning proficiency level on the TELPAS reading test for two consecutive years}}{\text{District number of LEP students in Grades 2-12 tested on the TELPAS reading test in the spring 2010 and spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

DATA SOURCE

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP students in Grades 2-12 tested on the TELPAS reading test in spring 2010 and spring 2011 and at least 5 LEP students scoring at the beginning proficiency level for two consecutive years.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students meeting the following criteria: (1) enrolled in the district on the PEIMS 2010 fall snapshot date (110 Record); and tested on the spring 2010 and spring 2011 TELPAS reading test.

NOTES

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- The accountability subset is used.
- The denominator is based on students who were in Grades 2-12 in the spring of 2010 and who, as a result of either grade retention or grade promotion, were in Grades 2-12 in the spring of 2011.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district TELPAS reading multi-year beginning proficiency level rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #12: District TELPAS Reading Multi-Year Beginning Proficiency Level Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district TELPAS reading multi-year beginning proficiency level rate is 3.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district TELPAS reading multi-year beginning proficiency level rate is between 3.1% and 5.4%.	The district TELPAS reading multi-year beginning proficiency level rate is between 5.5% and 7.4%.	The district TELPAS reading multi-year beginning proficiency level rate is 7.5% or higher.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

BE/ESL Indicator #13: TELPAS Composite Rating Levels for Students in U.S. Schools Multiple Years

This indicator measures the percent of LEP students who have been in U.S. schools multiple years and received a TELPAS Composite Rating of Beginning or Intermediate.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district TELPAS Composite Rating levels for students in U.S. schools multiple years:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{District TELPAS} \\ \text{Composite Rating} \\ \text{levels for students in} \\ \text{U.S. schools multiple} \\ \text{years} \end{array} = \frac{\text{District number of LEP students in Grades 5-12 in U.S. schools five or more years receiving a} \\ \text{2011 TELPAS Composite Rating of Beginning or Intermediate}}{\text{District number of LEP students in Grades 5-12 in U.S. schools five or more years with a 2011} \\ \text{TELPAS Composite Rating}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP students in Grades 5-12 in U.S. schools five or more years and at least 5 of those students receiving a 2011 TELPAS Composite Rating of Beginning or Intermediate.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) who also received a TELPAS Composite Rating on the 2011 TELPAS.

NOTES

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- LEP students in Grades 5-11 in U.S. schools five or more years with a 2011 TELPAS Composite Rating of Beginning or Intermediate who also pass the appropriate grade level English TAKS Reading/ELA test are **not** included in the numerator of this indicator.
- Years in U.S. Schools: The campus TAKS coordinator is responsible for submitting these data in consultation with the Language Proficiency Assessment Committee (LPAC) and verifying the information for accuracy and consistency.
- The accountability subset is used.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district TELPAS composite rating levels for students in U.S. schools multiple years is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

BE/ESL #13: District TELPAS Composite Rating Levels for Students in U.S. Schools Multiple Years				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district TELPAS composite rating levels for students in U.S. schools multiple years is 10.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district TELPAS composite rating levels for students in U.S. schools multiple years is between 10.1% and 15.0%.	The district TELPAS composite rating levels for students in U.S. schools multiple years is between 15.1% and 19.9%.	The district TELPAS composite rating levels for students in U.S. schools multiple years is 20.0% or higher.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

SECTION IV:

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Indicators

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

CTE Indicator #1(i-iv): CTE TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-11) passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District CTE passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of CTE students (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of CTE students (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as a **2** (Coherent Sequence) or **3** (Tech Prep) participant on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**Career and Technical Education** indicator code).

NOTES

- The CTE TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
1(i)	Mathematics	9-11
1(ii)	Reading/ELA	9-11
1(iii)	Science	10, 11
1(iv)	Social Studies	10, 11

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Only Career and Technical Education students with status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator. (See also Data Source above.)
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district CTE TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #1(i-iv): District CTE TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district CTE TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district CTE TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
1(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
1(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
1(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
1(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

CTE Indicator #2(i-iv): CTE LEP TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-11) with limited English proficiency (LEP) who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE LEP passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District CTE LEP passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of CTE LEP students (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of CTE LEP students (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE LEP TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as **LEP** (indicator code C) and a **2** (Coherent Sequence) or **3** (Tech Prep) participant on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**LEP** and **Career and Technical Education** indicator codes).

NOTES

- The CTE LEP TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
2(i)	Mathematics	9-11
2(ii)	Reading/ELA	9-11
2(iii)	Science	10, 11
2(iv)	Social Studies	10, 11

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Only Career and Technical Education students with status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator. (See also Data Source above.)
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #2(i-iv): District CTE LEP TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
2(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
2(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
2(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
2(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

CTE Indicator #3(i-iv): CTE Economically Disadvantaged TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-11) who are economically disadvantaged and who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE economically disadvantaged passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District CTE economically disadvantaged passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of CTE economically disadvantaged students (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of CTE economically disadvantaged students (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as **economically disadvantaged** (indicator codes **01**, **02**, and **99**) and a **2** (Coherent Sequence) or **3** (Tech Prep) participant on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**Economic Disadvantage** and **Career and Technical Education** indicator codes).

NOTES

- The CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS**, **TAKS (Accommodated)**, **TAKS-M**, and **TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
3(i)	Mathematics	9-11
3(ii)	Reading/ELA	9-11
3(iii)	Science	10, 11
3(iv)	Social Studies	10, 11

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Only Career and Technical Education students with status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator. (See also Data Source above.)
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #3(i-iv): District CTE Economically Disadvantaged TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
3(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
3(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
3(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
3(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

CTE Indicator #4(i-iv): CTE Special Education TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-11) who receive special education services and who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE special education passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District CTE special education passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of CTE special education students (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of CTE special education students (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE special education TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as a participant in a **special education** program and a **2** (Coherent Sequence) or **3** (Tech Prep) participant on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**Special Education** and **Career and Technical Education** indicator codes).

NOTES

- The CTE special education TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
4(i)	Mathematics	9-11
4(ii)	Reading/ELA	9-11
4(iii)	Science	10, 11
4(iv)	Social Studies	10, 11

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Only Career and Technical Education students with status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator. (See also Data Source above.)
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #4(i-iv): District CTE Special Education TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
4(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
4(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
4(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
4(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

CTE Indicator #5(i-iv): CTE Tech Prep TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students coded as Tech Prep program participants (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE Tech Prep passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District CTE Tech Prep passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of CTE Tech Prep program participants (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of CTE Tech Prep program participants (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE Tech Prep TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as a **3** (Tech Prep) program participant on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**Career and Technical Education** indicator code).

NOTES

- The CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
5(i)	Mathematics	9-11
5(ii)	Reading/ELA	9-11
5(iii)	Science	10, 11
5(iv)	Social Studies	10, 11

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Only Career and Technical Education students with status code 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator. (See also Data Source above.)
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #5(i-iv): District CTE Tech Prep TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
5(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
5(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
5(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
5(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

CTE Indicator #6: CTE Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 9-12)

This indicator measures the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district Grades 9-12 CTE annual dropout rate:

$$\text{District Grades 9-12 CTE annual dropout rate} = \frac{\text{District number of CTE students (Grades 9-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of CTE students (Grades 9-12) in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE students (Grades 9-12) in attendance and at least 5 CTE dropouts in 2009-2010.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student listings of CTE dropouts are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Only students with PEIMS CTE status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence), or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator.
- Dropout data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Grades 9-12 CTE annual dropout rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #6: District CTE Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 9-12)				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district Grades 9-12 CTE annual dropout rate is 3.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE annual dropout rate is between 3.1% and 5.0%.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE annual dropout rate is between 5.1% and 8.0%.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE annual dropout rate is 8.1% or higher.

CTE Indicator #7: CTE RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of CTE students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma:

$$\text{District CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate} = \frac{\text{District number of CTE students who graduated with a RHSP or DAP diploma in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of CTE students who graduated in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

DATA SOURCE

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE graduates. (See Notes below.)
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

- The data for this indicator are based on the number of CTE graduates reported by the district in the PEIMS fall 2010 submission (203 Record) who earned a **RHSP (graduation codes 15, 19, 22, 25, or 28)** or **DAP (graduation codes 17, 20, 23, 26, or 29)** diploma.

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- A graduate is counted as a CTE graduate if Element E0031 (CTE indicator code) on any 101 Record submitted for the student contains a 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep).
- Graduation data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #7: District CTE RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 70.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 50.0% and 69.9%.	The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 30.1% and 49.9%.	The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 30.0% or lower.

CTE Indicator #8: CTE Graduation Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students who graduated with a high school diploma in four years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of CTE students graduating with a high school diploma in four years:

$$\text{District CTE graduation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of CTE students in the Grade 9 cohort who graduated with a high school diploma by 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of CTE students in the class of 2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE students in the class of 2010 cohort.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the CTE graduation rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of CTE cohort members are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Only students with PEIMS CTE status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator.
- Graduation data are for the class of 2010.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district CTE graduation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #8: District CTE Graduation Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district CTE graduation rate is 75.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district CTE graduation rate is between 65.0% and 74.9%.	The district CTE graduation rate is between 40.0% and 64.9%.	The district CTE graduation rate is 39.9% or lower.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

CTE Indicator #9: CTE Nontraditional Course Completion Rate—Males

This indicator measures the percent of male students (Grades 9-12) completing Career and Technical Education (CTE) courses that are nontraditional for their gender.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE male nontraditional course completion rate:

$$\text{District male nontraditional course completion rate} = \frac{\text{District number of male CTE students (Grades 9-12) who completed male nontraditional courses in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of CTE students (Grades 9-12) who completed male nontraditional courses in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

DATA SOURCE

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 Grades 9-12 CTE students completing male nontraditional courses.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

- PEIMS summer 2010 data (415 Record and 101 Record).

NOTES

- A list of CTE nontraditional courses for the 2009-2010 school year is located in Appendix A of this manual.
- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Students with PEIMS CTE status codes 1 (Career/Technology Elective), 2 (Coherent Sequence), or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator.
- Course completion data are for the 2009-2010 school year.
- Each student is counted for each course completed. For example, a student completing five nontraditional courses is counted five times in this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate–males is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #9: District Grades 9-12 CTE Nontraditional Course Completion Rate-Males				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate-males is 40.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate-males is between 20.0% and 39.9%.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate-males is between 10.0% and 19.9%.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate-males is 9.9% or lower.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

CTE Indicator #10: CTE Nontraditional Course Completion Rate—Females

This indicator measures the percent of female students (Grades 9-12) completing Career and Technical Education (CTE) courses that are nontraditional for their gender.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the CTE female nontraditional course completion rate:

$$\text{District female nontraditional course completion rate} = \frac{\text{District number of female CTE students (Grades 9-12) who completed female nontraditional courses in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of CTE students (Grades 9-12) who completed female nontraditional courses in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 Grades 9-12 CTE students completing female nontraditional courses.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- PEIMS summer 2010 data (415 Record and 101 Record).

NOTES

- A list of CTE nontraditional courses for the 2009-2010 school year is located in Appendix A of this manual.
- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Students with PEIMS CTE status codes 1 (Career/Technology Elective), 2 (Coherent Sequence), or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator.
- Course completion data are for the 2009-2010 school year.
- Each student is counted for each course completed. For example, a student completing five nontraditional courses is counted five times in this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate–females is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

CTE #10: District Grades 9-12 CTE Nontraditional Course Completion Rate-Females				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate-females is 35.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate-females is between 15.0% and 34.9%.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate-females is between 5.0% and 14.9%.	The district Grades 9-12 CTE nontraditional course completion rate-females is 4.9% or lower.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

SECTION V

No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Indicators

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

NCLB Indicator #1(i-v): Title I, Part A TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Title I, Part A students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district Title I, Part A passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District Title I, Part A passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-v)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of Title I, Part A students who passed the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of Title I, Part A students who took the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 Title I, Part A TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as **Title I, Part A** on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**Title I, Part A** indicator codes **6, 7, or 9**).

NOTES

- The Title I, Part A TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
1(i)	Mathematics	3-11
1(ii)	Reading/ELA	3-11
1(iii)	Science	5, 8, 10, 11
1(iv)	Social Studies	8, 10, 11
1(v)	Writing	4, 7

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable.
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.
- Spanish versions of TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) are included.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Title I, Part A TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

NCLB #1(i-v): District Title I, Part A TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district Title I, Part A TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Title I, Part A TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district Title I, Part A TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district Title I, Part A TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
1(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
1(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
1(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
1(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
1(v)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

NCLB Indicator #2: Title I, Part A Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)

This indicator measures the percent of Title I, Part A students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district Grades 7-12 Title I, Part A annual dropout rate:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{District Grades 7-12} \\ \text{Title I, Part A} \\ \text{annual dropout rate} \end{array} = \frac{\text{District number of Title I, Part A students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of Title I, Part A students (Grades 7-12) in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 Title I, Part A students (Grades 7-12) in attendance and at least 5 Title I, Part A dropouts in 2009-2010.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data source and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of Title I, Part A dropouts are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- ***New!*** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Dropout data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Title I, Part A annual dropout rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

NCLB #2: District Title I, Part A Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district Grades 7-12 Title I, Part A annual dropout rate is 2.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Grades 7-12 Title I, Part A annual dropout rate is between 2.1% and 4.0%.	The district Grades 7-12 Title I, Part A annual dropout rate is between 4.1% and 7.0%.	The district Grades 7-12 Title I, Part A annual dropout rate is 7.1% or higher.

NCLB Indicator #3: Title I, Part A RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Title I, Part A students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of Title I, Part A students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{District Title I,} \\ \text{Part A} \\ \text{RHSP/DAP} \\ \text{diploma rate} \end{array} = \frac{\text{District number of Title I, Part A students who graduated with a RHSP or DAP diploma in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of Title I, Part A students who graduated in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 Title I, Part A RHSP/DAP graduates.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the number of **Title I, Part A** graduates reported by the district in the PEIMS fall 2010 submission (203 Record) who earned a **RHSP (graduation codes 15, 19, 22, 25, or 28)** or **DAP (graduation codes 17, 20, 23, 26, or 29)** diploma.

NOTES

- **New!** Performance levels will be assigned in 2011.
- A graduate is counted as a Title I, Part A graduate if any 461 Record was submitted for the student.
- Graduation data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Title I, Part A RHSP/DAP diploma rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

NCLB #3: District Title I, Part A RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district Title I, Part A RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 70.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Title I, Part A RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 50.0% and 69.9%.	The district Title I, Part A RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 30.1% and 49.9%.	The district Title I, Part A RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 30.0% or lower.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

NCLB Indicator #4: Title I, Part A Graduation Rate

This indicator measures the percent of Title I, Part A students who graduated with a high school diploma in four years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of Title I, Part A students graduating with a high school diploma in four years:

$$\text{District Title I, Part A graduation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of Title I, Part A students in the Grade 9 cohort who graduated with a high school diploma in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of Title I, Part A students in the class of 2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 Title I, Part A students in the class of 2010 cohort.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the Title I, Part A graduation rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of Title I, Part A cohort members are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- ***New!*** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Graduation data are for the class of 2010.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Title I, Part A graduation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

NCLB #4: District Title I, Part A Graduation Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district Title I, Part A graduation rate is 75.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Title I, Part A graduation rate is between 65.0% and 74.9%.	The district Title I, Part A graduation rate is between 40.0% and 64.9%.	The district Title I, Part A graduation rate is 39.9% or lower.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

NCLB Indicator #5(i-v): Migrant TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of migrant students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district migrant passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District migrant passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-v)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of migrant students who passed the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of migrant students who took the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 migrant TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as a **migrant** student on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**Migrant Student** indicator code).

NOTES

- The migrant TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
5(i)	Mathematics	3-11
5(ii)	Reading/ELA	3-11
5(iii)	Science	5, 8, 10, 11
5(iv)	Social Studies	8, 10, 11
5(v)	Writing	4, 7

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable.
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.
- Spanish versions of TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) are included.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district migrant TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

NCLB #5(i-v): District Migrant TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district migrant TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district migrant TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district migrant TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district migrant TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
5(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.0%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
5(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
5(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
5(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
5(v)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

NCLB Indicator #6: Migrant Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)

This indicator measures the percent of migrant students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district Grades 7-12 migrant annual dropout rate:

$$\text{District Grades 7-12 migrant annual dropout rate} = \frac{\text{District number of migrant students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of migrant students (Grades 7-12) in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 migrant students (Grades 7-12) in attendance and at least 5 migrant dropouts in 2009-2010.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of migrant dropouts are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Dropout data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Grades 7-12 migrant annual dropout rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

NCLB #6: District Migrant Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district Grades 7-12 migrant annual dropout rate is 2.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Grades 7-12 migrant annual dropout rate is between 2.1% and 4.0%.	The district Grades 7-12 migrant annual dropout rate is between 4.1% and 7.0%.	The district Grades 7-12 migrant annual dropout rate is 7.1% or higher.

NCLB Indicator #7: Migrant RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate

This indicator measures the percent of migrant students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district percent of migrant students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma:

$$\text{District migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate} = \frac{\text{District number of migrant students who graduated with a RHSP or DAP diploma in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of migrant students who graduated in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 migrant graduates.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the number of **migrant** graduates reported by the district in the PEIMS fall 2010 submission (203 Record) who earned a **RHSP (graduation codes 15, 19, 22, 25, or 28)** or **DAP (graduation codes 17, 20, 23, 26, or 29)** diploma.

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- A graduate is counted as a migrant graduate if Element E0984 (migrant indicator code) on any 101 Record submitted for the student contains a 1.
- Graduation data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

NCLB #7: District Migrant RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 70.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 50.0% and 69.9%.	The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 30.1% and 49.9%.	The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 30.0% or lower.

NCLB Indicator #8: Migrant Graduation Rate

This indicator measures the percent of migrant students who graduated with a high school diploma in four years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of migrant students graduating with a high school diploma in four years:

$$\text{District migrant graduation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of migrant students in the Grade 9 cohort who graduated with a high school diploma by 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of migrant students in the class of 2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 migrant students in the class of 2010 cohort.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data source and methods for calculating the migrant graduation rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of migrant cohort members are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Graduation data are for the class of 2010.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district migrant graduation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

NCLB #8: District Migrant Graduation Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district migrant graduation rate is 75.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district migrant graduation rate is between 65.0% and 74.9%.	The district migrant graduation rate is between 40.0% and 64.9%.	The district migrant graduation rate is 39.9% or lower.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

SECTION VI

Special Education (SPED) Indicators

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Special Education Indicator #1(i-v): SPED TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of special education (SPED) students passing each TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district special education passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\frac{\text{District special education passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-v)] test}}{\text{District number of special education students who passed the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}} = \frac{\text{District number of special education students who passed the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of special education students who took the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 special education TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as participating in a **special education** program on the spring 2011 TAKS answer documents (**special education** indicator code).

NOTES

- The special education TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
1(i)	Mathematics	3-11
1(ii)	Reading/ELA	3-11
1(iii)	Science	5, 8, 10, 11
1(iv)	Social Studies	8, 10, 11
1(v)	Writing	4, 7

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable.
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.
- Spanish versions of TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) are included.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district special education TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #1(i-v): District Special Education TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district special education TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district special education TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district special education TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district special education TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
1(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
1(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
1(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
1(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
1(v)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

Special Education Indicator #2(i-v): SPED Year-After-Exit (YAE) TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator measures the percent of students who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing) one year after being exited from receiving special education (SPED) services.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

$$\text{District SPED YAE passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-v)] test} = \frac{\text{District number of students in their first year of exit from special education services who passed the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of students in their first year of exit from special education services who took the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 SPED YAE TAKS test takers in the subject area.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district and **receiving special education services** on the PEIMS **fall 2009** snapshot date (110 Record), reported by the district as **enrolled** on the PEIMS **fall 2010** snapshot date (110 Record) and **no longer receiving special education services**, and reported by the district as **not participating in a special education program** on the **spring 2011** student assessment answer document (special education indicator code).

NOTES

- The SPED YAE TAKS passing rate for each subject-area test is based on **TAKS** results from students in the following grades:

Indicator	Subject Test	Grade Levels
2(i)	Mathematics	3-11
2(ii)	Reading/ELA	3-11
2(iii)	Science	5, 8, 10, 11
2(iv)	Social Studies	8, 10, 11
2(v)	Writing	4, 7

- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included.
- Reading and ELA are combined.
- The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades.
- Spanish versions of TAKS are included.
- Students must be in the same district in both school years to be included in the calculation of this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #2(i-v): District SPED YAE TAKS Passing Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is at or above the subject-area standard. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is 10.1 to 20.0 percentage points below the subject-area standard.	The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is at least 20.1 percentage points below the subject-area standard.

The subject-area standards and PL cut-points are:

Indicator	Subject	Standard	PL = 0	PL = 1	PL = 2	PL = 3
2(i)	Mathematics	65.0%	≥ 65.0%	55.0% - 64.9%	45.0% - 54.9%	≤ 44.9%
2(ii)	Reading/ELA	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
2(iii)	Science	60.0%	≥ 60.0%	50.0% - 59.9%	40.0% - 49.9%	≤ 39.9%
2(iv)	Social Studies	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%
2(v)	Writing	70.0%	≥ 70.0%	60.0% - 69.9%	50.0% - 59.9%	≤ 49.9%

Special Education Indicator #3: SPED TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) Participation Rate

This indicator measures the percent of special education (SPED) students (Grades 3-11) tested on TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) in all subjects (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district special education TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) participation rate:

$$\text{District special education TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) participation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special education tested on TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) for all subjects in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special education for whom a student assessment answer document or finalized TAKS-Alt assessment was submitted in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 SPED students (Grades 3-11) for whom a student assessment answer document or finalized TAKS-Alt assessment was submitted in spring 2011.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the participation of students reported as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as participating in a **special education** program on the spring 2011 student assessment answer document (special education indicator code).

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- The denominator includes TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt assessments.
- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable. Spanish versions of TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) are included.
- The accountability subset is used.
- The special education TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) participation rate is based on results from students in the following grades:

Subject Test	Grade Levels
Mathematics	3-11
Reading/ELA	3-11
Science	5, 8, 10, 11
Social Studies	8, 10, 11
Writing	4, 7

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district SPED TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) participation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #3: District SPED TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) Participation Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district SPED TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) participation rate is 50.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district SPED TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) participation rate is between 30.0% and 49.9%.	The district SPED TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) participation rate is between 15.0% and 29.9%.	The district SPED TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) participation rate is 14.9% or lower.

Special Education Indicator #4: SPED TAKS-Modified (TAKS-M) Participation Rate

This indicator measures the percent of special education students (Grades 3-11) tested on TAKS-M in all subjects (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district special education TAKS-M participation rate:

$$\text{District special education TAKS-M participation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special education tested on TAKS-M for all subjects in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special education for whom a student assessment answer document or finalized TAKS-Alt assessment was submitted in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 SPED students (Grades 3-11) for whom a student assessment answer document or finalized TAKS-Alt assessment was submitted in spring 2011.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the participation of students reported as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as participating in a **special education** program on the spring 2011 student assessment answer document (special education indicator code).

NOTES

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- The denominator includes TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt assessments.
- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable. Spanish versions of TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) are included (denominator only).
- The accountability subset is used.
- The special education TAKS-M participation rate is based on results from students in the following grades:

Subject Test	Grade Levels
Mathematics	3-11
Reading/ELA	3-11
Science	5, 8, 10, 11
Social Studies	8, 10, 11
Writing	4, 7

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district SPED TAKS-Modified (TAKS-M) participation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #4: District SPED TAKS-Modified (TAKS-M) Participation Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district SPED TAKS-Modified (TAKS-M) participation rate is 20.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district SPED TAKS-Modified (TAKS-M) participation rate is between 20.1% and 35.0%.	The district SPED TAKS-Modified (TAKS-M) participation rate is between 35.1% and 54.9%.	The district SPED TAKS-Modified (TAKS-M) participation rate is 55.0% or higher.

Special Education Indicator #5: SPED TAKS-Alternate (TAKS-Alt) Participation Rate

This indicator measures the percent of special education (SPED) students (Grades 3-11) tested on TAKS-Alt in all subjects (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district special education TAKS-Alt participation rate:

$$\text{District special education TAKS-Alt participation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special education tested on TAKS-Alt for all subjects in spring 2011}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special education for whom a student assessment answer document or finalized TAKS-Alt assessment was submitted in spring 2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 SPED students (Grades 3-11) for whom a student assessment answer document or finalized TAKS-Alt assessment was submitted in spring 2011.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the participation of students reported as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as participating in a **special education** program on the spring 2011 student assessment answer document (special education indicator code).

NOTES

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- The denominator includes TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated), TAKS-M, and TAKS-Alt assessments
- Second administrations of Grades 5 and 8 reading and mathematics are included where applicable (denominator only). Spanish versions of TAKS/TAKS (Accommodated) are included (denominator only).
- The accountability subset is used.
- The special education TAKS-Alt participation rate is based on results from students in the following grades:

Subject Test	Grade Levels
Mathematics	3-11
Reading/ELA	3-11
Science	5, 8, 10, 11
Social Studies	8, 10, 11
Writing	4, 7

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district SPED TAKS-Alternate (TAKS-Alt) participation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #5: District SPED TAKS-Alternate (TAKS-Alt) Participation Rate		
Performance Level (PL) Assignments		
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = AR** (Agency Review)
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district SPED TAKS-Alternate (TAKS-Alt) participation rate is 10.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district SPED TAKS-Alternate (TAKS-Alt) participation rate is 10.1% or higher.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

**The TAKS-Alt participation data and rates of districts with the PL designation of AR (Agency Review) will be reviewed by agency program and monitoring staff to determine whether any district response or intervention will be required.

Special Education Indicator #6: SPED 3-5 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate

This indicator measures the percent of students ages 3-5 served in special education who are placed in less restrictive environments along the Least Restrictive Environment continuum.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district placement rate for students ages 3-5 years old in less restrictive environments:

$$\text{District 3-5 year-olds less restrictive environments placement rate} = \frac{\text{District number of students ages 3-5 served in special education who were placed in less restrictive environments in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of students ages 3-5 served in special education in 2010-2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students ages 3-5 served in special education.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the placement of special education students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district (denominator) and placed in either **40** or **41** instructional settings (numerator) (PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot data; 110 Record and 163 Record [Element E0173]).

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- PEIMS setting codes **40 (mainstream)** and **41 (receive resource room services less than 21% of the day)** are the environments that are considered less restrictive for the purposes of this indicator.
- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility), and 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element E0833) is 3 (Receiving instructional services from the Regional Day School Program for the Deaf) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.
- The student's age is determined as of September 1, 2010, for this indicator and is derived from the 101 Record (Element E0006).

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district 3-5 year-olds less restrictive environments placement rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #6: District 3-5 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 16.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 8.5% and 15.9%.	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 4.1% and 8.4%.	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 4.0% or lower.

Special Education Indicator #7: SPED 6-11 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate

This indicator measures the percent of students ages 6-11 served in special education who are placed in less restrictive environments along the Least Restrictive Environment continuum.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district placement rate for students ages 6-11 years old in less restrictive environments:

$$\text{District 6-11 year-olds less restrictive environments placement rate} = \frac{\text{District number of students ages 6-11 served in special education who were placed in less restrictive environments in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of students ages 6-11 served in special education in 2010-2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students ages 6-11 served in special education.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the placement of special education students reported by districts as **enrolled** in the district (denominator) and placed in either **40** or **41** instructional settings (numerator) (PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot data; 110 Record and 163 Record [Element E0173]).

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- PEIMS setting codes **40 (mainstream)** and **41 (receive resource room services less than 21% of the day)** are the environments that are considered less restrictive for the purposes of this indicator.
- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility), and 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element E0833) is 3 (Receiving instructional services from the Regional Day School Program for the Deaf) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.
- The student's age is determined as of September 1, 2010, for this indicator and is derived from the 101 Record (Element E0006).

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district 6-11 year-olds less restrictive environments placement rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #7: District 6-11 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 40.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 32.0% and 39.9%.	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 17.1% and 31.9%.	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 17.0% or lower.

Special Education Indicator #8: SPED 12-21 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate

This indicator measures the percent of students ages 12-21 served in special education who are placed in less restrictive environments along the Least Restrictive Environment continuum.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district placement rate for students ages 12-21 years old in less restrictive environments:

$$\text{District 12-21 year-olds less restrictive environments placement rate} = \frac{\text{District number of students ages 12-21 served in special education who were placed in less restrictive environments in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of students ages 12-21 served in special education in 2010-2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students ages 12-21 served in special education.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the placement of special education students reported by districts as **enrolled** in the district (denominator) and placed in either **40** or **41** instructional settings (numerator) (PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot data; 110 Record and 163 Record [Element E0173]).

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- PEIMS setting codes **40 (mainstream)** and **41 (receive resource room services less than 21% of the day)** are the environments that are considered less restrictive for the purposes of this indicator.
- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility), and 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element E0833) is 3 (Receiving instructional services from the Regional Day School Program for the Deaf) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.
- The student's age is determined as of September 1, 2010, for this indicator and is derived from the 101 Record (Element E0006).

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district 12-21 year-olds less restrictive environments placement rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #8: District 12-21 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 65.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 55.0% and 64.9%.	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 45.1% and 54.9%.	The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 45.0% or lower.

Special Education Indicator #9: SPED Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)

This indicator measures the percent of students (Grades 7-12) served in special education who dropped out in 2009-2010.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district Grades 7-12 special education annual dropout rate:

$$\text{District Grades 7-12 special education annual dropout rate} = \frac{\text{District number of students served in special education (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of students served in special education (Grades 7-12) in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students (Grades 7-12) in attendance served in special education and at least 5 dropouts served in special education in 2009-2010.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of dropouts served in special education are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Dropout data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district Grades 7-12 special education annual dropout rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #9: District Special Education Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district Grades 7-12 special education annual dropout rate is 2.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district Grades 7-12 special education annual dropout rate is between 2.1% and 4.0%.	The district Grades 7-12 special education annual dropout rate is between 4.1% and 7.0%.	The district Grades 7-12 special education annual dropout rate is 7.1% or higher.

Special Education Indicator #10: SPED RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate

This indicator measures the percent of students served in special education graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district percent of students served in special education graduating with a RHSP or DAP diploma:

$$\text{District special education RHSP/DAP diploma rate} = \frac{\text{District number of students served in special education who graduated with a RHSP or DAP diploma in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of students served in special education who graduated in 2009-2010}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 special education graduates.
- Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the number of **special education** graduates reported by the district in the PEIMS fall 2010 submission (203 Record) who earned a **RHSP (graduation codes 15, 19, 22, 25, or 28)** or **DAP (graduation codes 17, 20, 23, 26, or 29)** diploma.

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- A graduate is counted as a special education graduate if any 405 or 505 Record was submitted for the student or if Element E0940 on any 400 Record submitted for the student contains anything but 0000 or if Element E1049 on any 500 Record submitted for the student contains anything but 000 or if Element E0806 (graduation type code) on any 203 Record submitted for the student contains 04, 05, 06, 07, 18, 19, or 20.
- Graduation data are for the 2009-2010 school year.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district special education RHSP/DAP diploma rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #10: District SPED RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district SPED RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 16.5% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district SPED RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 6.5% and 16.4%.	The district SPED RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 1.5% and 6.4%.	The district SPED RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 1.4% or lower.

Special Education Indicator #11: SPED Graduation Rate

This indicator measures the percent of students served in special education who graduated with a high school diploma in four years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of students served in special education graduating with a high school diploma in four years:

$$\text{District special education graduation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of students in the Grade 9 cohort served in special education who graduated with a high school diploma by 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of students in the class of 2010 served in special education.}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students served in special education in the class of 2010 cohort.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the special education graduation rate, see the *Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools* report available at the following web address:
http://www.tea.state.tx.us/acctres/dropcomp_index.html.
- Confidential student-level listings of special education cohort members are available to districts through the secure Accountability TEASE application. Select the Accountability Research (RES) tab for more information.

NOTES

- **New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Graduation data are for the class of 2010.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district special education graduation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #11: District SPED Graduation Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district SPED graduation rate is 75.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district SPED graduation rate is between 65.0% and 74.9%.	The district SPED graduation rate is between 40.0% and 64.9%.	The district SPED graduation rate is 39.9% or lower.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

Special Education Indicator #12: SPED Representation

This indicator measures the percent of enrolled students receiving special education (SPED) services.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district percent of students receiving special education services as follows:

$$\text{District special education representation rate} = \frac{\text{District number of special education students enrolled in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of students enrolled in 2010-2011}}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students enrolled in the district receiving special education services.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the number of students reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district and receiving **special education** services (PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot data; 110 Record and 163 Record).

NOTES

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation), 31, 32, 34 (ECI 0-2), and 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element E0833) is 3 (Receiving instructional services from the Regional Day School Program for the Deaf) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district-level special education representation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #12: District Special Education Representation Rate				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes ORI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district representation of students receiving special education services is 8.5% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district representation of students receiving special education services is between 8.6% and 12.0%.	The district representation of students receiving special education services is between 12.1% and 16.0%.	The district representation of students receiving special education services is 16.1% or higher.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

Special Education Indicator #13: SPED African American (Not Hispanic/Latino) Representation

This indicator measures the potential disproportion of African American students served in special education.

CALCULATION

1. For each district, calculate the district **special education African American percentage**:

$$\text{District special education African American percentage} = \frac{\text{District number of enrolled African American students served in special education in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of special education students enrolled in 2010-2011}}$$

2. For each district, calculate the district **overall African American percentage**:

$$\text{District overall African American percentage} = \frac{\text{District number of African American students enrolled in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of students enrolled in 2010-2011}}$$

3. For each district, the **difference value** is calculated by subtracting the district **overall African American percentage** from the district **special education African American percentage**.

$$\text{Difference value} = \text{District special education African American percentage} - \text{District overall African American percentage}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 enrolled African American students served in special education.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the number of **Black or African American** (Element E1061) (but not Hispanic/Latino) **students** (Element E1064) and **all students** reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district and receiving **special education** services (PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot data; 101 Record, 110 Record, and 163 Record).

NOTES

- **New!** The race and ethnicity data for this indicator were collected using the new (1997) Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity. An African American student for purposes of this indicator is a student who is not reported as Hispanic/Latino and is reported (with one or more races) as Black or African American (Element E1061).
- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation), 31, 32, 34 (ECI 0-2), and 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerators or the denominators.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element E0833) is 3 (Receiving instructional services from the Regional Day School Program for the Deaf) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerators or denominators.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

For each district, the **difference value** is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #13: District SPED African American (Not Hispanic/Latino) Representation				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district percent of special education students who are African American is no more than 1.0 percentage point higher than the percent of all district students who are African American. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district percent of special education students who are African American is between 1.1 and 5.9 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are African American.	The district percent of special education students who are African American is between 6.0 and 10.0 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are African American.	The district percent of special education students who are African American is at least 10.1 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are African American.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

Special Education Indicator #14: SPED Hispanic Representation

This indicator measures the potential disproportion of Hispanic students served in special education.

CALCULATION

- For each district, calculate the district **special education Hispanic percentage**:

$$\text{District special education Hispanic percentage} = \frac{\text{District number of enrolled Hispanic students served in special education in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of special education students enrolled in 2010-2011}}$$

- For each district, calculate the district **overall Hispanic percentage**:

$$\text{District overall Hispanic percentage} = \frac{\text{District number of Hispanic students enrolled in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of students enrolled in 2010-2011}}$$

- For each district, the **difference value** is calculated by subtracting the district **overall Hispanic percentage** from the district **special education Hispanic percentage**.

$$\text{Difference value} = \text{District special education Hispanic percentage} - \text{District overall Hispanic percentage}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 enrolled Hispanic students served in special education.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the number of **Hispanic/Latino students** (Element E1064) and **all students** reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district and receiving **special education** services (PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot data; 101 Record, 110 Record, and 163 Record).

NOTES

- **New!** The race and ethnicity data for this indicator were collected using the new (1997) Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity. A Hispanic student for purposes of this indicator is a student who is reported as Hispanic/Latino (Element E1064) regardless of the student's reported race(s).
- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation), 31, 32, 34 (ECI 0-2), and 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerators or denominators.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element E0833) is 3 (Receiving instructional services from the Regional Day School Program for the Deaf) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerators or denominators.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

For each district, the **difference value** is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #14: District SPED Hispanic Representation				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district percent of special education students who are Hispanic is no more than 1.0 percentage point higher than the percent of all district students who are Hispanic. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district percent of special education students who are Hispanic is between 1.1 and 5.9 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are Hispanic.	The district percent of special education students who are Hispanic is between 6.0 and 10.0 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are Hispanic.	The district percent of special education students who are Hispanic is at least 10.1 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are Hispanic.

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

Special Education Indicator #15: SPED LEP Representation

This indicator measures the potential disproportion of students identified as limited English proficient (LEP) served in special education.

CALCULATION

1. For each district, calculate the district **special education LEP percentage**:

$$\text{District special education LEP percentage} = \frac{\text{District number of enrolled LEP students served in special education in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of special education students enrolled in 2010-2011}}$$

2. For each district, calculate the district **overall LEP percentage**:

$$\text{District overall LEP percentage} = \frac{\text{District number of LEP students enrolled in 2010-2011}}{\text{District number of students enrolled in 2010-2011}}$$

3. For each district, the **difference value** is calculated by subtracting the district **overall LEP percentage** from the district **special education LEP percentage**.

$$\text{Difference value} = \text{District special education LEP percentage} - \text{District overall LEP percentage}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 enrolled LEP students served in special education.
- Professional judgment special analysis is available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator are based on the number of **LEP and all students** reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district and receiving **special education** services (PEIMS fall 2010 snapshot data; 110 Record and 163 Record).

NOTES

- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation), 31, 32, 34 (ECI 0-2), and 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerators or denominators.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element E0833) is 3 (Receiving instructional services from the Regional Day School Program for the Deaf) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerators or denominators.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

For each district, the **difference value** is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #15: District SPED LEP Representation				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA and NA PJSA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA, 0 PJSA and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA and 1 PJSA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA and 2 PJSA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA and 3 PJSA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district percent of special education students who are LEP is no more than 1.0 percentage point higher than the percent of all district students who are LEP. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district percent of special education students who are LEP is between 1.1 and 5.9 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are LEP.	The district percent of special education students who are LEP is between 6.0 and 10.0 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are LEP.	The district percent of special education students who are LEP is at least 10.1 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are LEP.

Special Education Indicator #16: SPED Discretionary DAEP Placements

This indicator measures the potential disproportionate discretionary placement of students served in special education in Disciplinary Alternative Education Programs (DAEPs).

CALCULATION

1. For each district, calculate the district **special education discretionary DAEP placement rate**:

$$\text{District special education discretionary DAEP placement rate} = \frac{\text{District number of discretionary DAEP placements of students served in special education in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of students served in special education in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

2. For each district, calculate the **overall discretionary DAEP placement rate**:

$$\text{District overall discretionary DAEP placement rate} = \frac{\text{District number of discretionary DAEP placements for all students in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of all students in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

3. For each district, the **difference value** is calculated by subtracting the district **overall discretionary DAEP placement rate** from the district **special education discretionary DAEP placement rate**.

$$\text{Difference value} = \text{District special education discretionary DAEP placement rate} - \text{District overall discretionary DAEP placement rate}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students in attendance served in special education and at least 10 discretionary DAEP placements of students served in special education.
- Professional judgment special analysis is available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator's denominators are based on the number of students (all students versus special education students) reported by the district as **in attendance** (PEIMS summer 2010 data—400 Record, 405 Record, 500 Record, and 505 Record). The data for this indicator's numerators are based on the number of **discretionary DAEP placements** (all students versus special education students) reported by the district (PEIMS summer 2010 data—425 Record.)

NOTES

- Discretionary DAEP placements are for the 2009-2010 school year.
- A student is counted as a special education student in the first denominator if any 405 Record was submitted for the student or if Element E0940 on any 400 Record submitted for the student contains anything but 0000 or if any 505 Record was submitted for the student or if Element E1049 on any 500 Record submitted for the student contains anything but 000. A DAEP placement is counted as a special education DAEP placement in the first numerator if the student has a matching record for the same reporting period on the 400 Record with Element E0041 containing anything but 00 and Element E0937 not equaling zero or on the 500 Record with Element E0041 containing anything but 00 and Element E1046 not equaling zero.
- Note that discretionary DAEP placements are defined using the PEIMS 425 Record – Disciplinary Action Codes and Disciplinary Action Reason Codes as follows: Action Codes (Element E1005) = 07, 08, and 10 and Reason Codes (Element E1006) = 01, 10, 21, 22, 23, 33, 34, 41, 49, 50, 51, and/or 52. Only one action per incident number is counted under this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

For each district, the **difference value** is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #16: District Discretionary DAEP Placements				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA and NA PJSA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA, 0 PJSA, and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA and 1 PJSA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA and 2 PJSA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA and 3 PJSA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district percent of SPED discretionary DAEP placements is no more than 1.0 percentage point higher than the percent of overall discretionary DAEP placements. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district percent of SPED discretionary DAEP placements is between 1.1 and 2.5 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary DAEP placements.	The district percent of SPED discretionary DAEP placements is between 2.6 and 4.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary DAEP placements.	The district percent of SPED discretionary DAEP placements is at least 4.1 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary DAEP placements.

Special Education Indicator #17: SPED Discretionary Placements to ISS

This indicator measures the potential disproportionate discretionary placement of students served in special education to in-school suspension (ISS).

CALCULATION

1. For each district, calculate the district **special education discretionary ISS placement rate**:

$$\text{District special education discretionary ISS placement rate} = \frac{\text{District number of discretionary placements of students served in special education to ISS in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of students served in special education in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

2. For each district, calculate the district **overall discretionary ISS placement rate**:

$$\text{District overall discretionary ISS placement rate} = \frac{\text{District number of discretionary placements of all students to ISS in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of all students in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

3. For each district, the **difference value** is calculated by subtracting the district **overall discretionary ISS placement rate** from the district **special education discretionary ISS placement rate**.

$$\text{Difference value} = \text{District special education discretionary ISS placement rate} - \text{District overall discretionary ISS placement rate}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students in attendance served in special education and at least 10 discretionary placements of students served in special education to ISS.
- Professional judgment special analysis is available for this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator's denominators are based on the number of students (all students versus special education students) reported by the district as **in attendance** (PEIMS summer 2010 data—400 Record, 405 Record, 500 Record, and 505 Record). The data for this indicator's numerators are based on the number of incidents of **discretionary placements in ISS** (all students versus special education students) reported by the district (PEIMS summer 2010 data—425 Record).

NOTES

- Discretionary placements to ISS are for the 2009-2010 school year.
- A student is counted as a special education student in the first denominator if any 405 Record was submitted for the student or if Element E0940 on any 400 Record submitted for the student contains anything but 0000 or if any 505 Record was submitted for the student or if Element E1049 on any 500 Record submitted for the student contains anything but 000. An ISS placement is counted as a special education ISS placement in the first numerator if the student has a matching record for the same reporting period on the 400 Record with Element E0041 containing anything but 00 and Element E0937 not equaling zero or on the 500 Record with Element E0041 containing anything but 00 and Element E1046 not equaling zero.
- Note that discretionary placements to ISS are defined using the PEIMS 425 Record – Disciplinary Action Codes and Disciplinary Action Reason Codes as follows: Action Code (Element E1005) = 06 and 26 and Reason Code (Element E1006) = All Codes. Only one action per incident number is counted under this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

For each district, the **difference value** is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #17: District Discretionary Placements to ISS				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned (Also includes NA SA and NA PJSA)	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 SA, 0 PJSA, and 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1 SA and 1 PJSA)	Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2 SA and 2 PJSA)	Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3 SA and 3 PJSA)
PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> .	The district percent of SPED discretionary ISS placements is no more than 10.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary ISS placements. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district percent of SPED discretionary ISS placements is between 10.1 and 24.9 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary ISS placements.	The district percent of SPED discretionary ISS placements is between 25.0 and 40.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary ISS placements.	The district percent of SPED discretionary ISS placements is at least 40.1 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary ISS placements.

Special Education Indicator #18: SPED Discretionary Placements to OSS

This indicator measures the potential disproportionate discretionary placement of students served in special education to out-of-school suspension (OSS).

CALCULATION

- For each district, calculate the district **special education discretionary OSS placement rate**:

$$\text{District special education discretionary OSS placement rate} = \frac{\text{District number of discretionary placements of students served in special education to OSS in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of students served in special education in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

- For each district, calculate the district **overall discretionary OSS placement rate**:

$$\text{District overall discretionary OSS placement rate} = \frac{\text{District number of discretionary placements of all students to OSS in 2009-2010}}{\text{District number of all students in attendance in 2009-2010}}$$

- For each district, the **difference value** is calculated by subtracting the district **overall discretionary OSS placement rate** from the district **special education discretionary OSS placement rate**.

$$\text{Difference value} = \text{District special education discretionary OSS placement rate} - \text{District overall discretionary OSS placement rate}$$

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS

- New!** Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students in attendance served in special education and at least 10 discretionary placements of students served in special education to OSS.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

DATA SOURCE

- The data for this indicator's denominators are based on the number of students (all students versus special education students) reported by the district as **in attendance** (PEIMS summer 2010 data—400 Record, 405 Record, 500 Record, and 505 Record). The data for this indicator's numerators are based on the number of incidents of **discretionary OSS placements** (all students versus special education students) reported by the district (PEIMS summer 2010 data—425 Record).

NOTES

- Discretionary placements to OSS are for the 2009-2010 school year.
- A student is counted as a special education student in the first denominator if any 405 Record was submitted for the student or if Element E0940 on any 400 Record submitted for the student contains anything but 0000 or if any 505 Record was submitted for the student or if Element E1049 on any 500 Record submitted for the student contains anything but 000. An OSS placement is counted as a special education OSS placement in the first numerator if the student has a matching record for the same reporting period on the 400 Record with Element E0041 containing anything but 00 and Element E0937 not equaling zero or on the 500 Record with Element E0041 containing anything but 00 and Element E1046 not equaling zero.
- Note that discretionary placements to OSS are defined using the PEIMS 425 Record – Disciplinary Action Codes and Disciplinary Action Reason Codes as follows: Action Code (Element E1005) = 05 and 25. Reason Code (Element E1006) = All Codes. Only one action per incident number is counted under this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

For each district, the **difference value** is compared to the PBMAS standards for the indicator, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

SPED #18: District Discretionary Placements to OSS				
Performance Level (PL) Assignments				
Performance Level = Not Assigned	Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0 RI)	Performance Level = 1	Performance Level = 2	Performance Level = 3
PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements.	The district percent of SPED discretionary OSS placements is no more than 6.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary OSS placements. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0.	The district percent of SPED discretionary OSS placements is between 6.1 and 15.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary OSS placements.	The district percent of SPED discretionary OSS placements is between 15.1 and 19.9 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary OSS placements.	The district percent of SPED discretionary OSS placements is at least 20.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary OSS placements.

The PBMAS special analysis is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

SECTION VII

Appendices

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix A:

Career and Technical Education Nontraditional Courses

2009 – 2010 School Year

The federal Carl D. Perkins law requires states to measure participation in nontraditional courses. Nontraditional courses are defined as occupations or fields of work, including careers in computer science, technology, and other emerging high skill occupations, for which individuals from one gender comprise less than 25 percent of the individuals employed in each such occupation or field of work. The courses below were selected because, for the most part, they are occupationally specific courses in which the enrollment of one gender falls below 25 percent. Gender enrollments in the courses are reviewed annually at the state and local levels as part of the Carl D. Perkins reporting process.

Nontraditional for Females	
PEIMS Number	Course
11921222	HOME MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENT
11921261	INTRODUCTION TO HORTICULTURAL SCIENCE
11922312	PERSONAL SKILL DEVELOPMENT IN AGRICULTURE
11922315	AGRICULTURAL COMMUNICATIONS
11922373	AGRIBUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND MARKETING
11922375	ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN AGRICULTURE
11923331	SPECIALTY AGRICULTURE
11923332	ANIMAL SCIENCE
11923333	PLANT AND SOIL SCIENCE
11923334	EQUINE SCIENCE
11923336	ADVANCED ANIMAL SCIENCE
11923337	ADVANCED PLANT AND SOIL SCIENCE
11923361	LANDSCAPE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND MAINTENANCE

Nontraditional for Females (continued)

PEIMS Number	Course
11923362	HORTICULTURAL PLANT PRODUCTION
11923363	FLORAL DESIGN AND INTERIOR LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT
11923364	FRUIT, NUT, AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTION
11923365	ADVANCED FLORAL DESIGN
11923374	ADVANCED AGRIBUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND MARKETING
11923382	RANGE MANAGEMENT AND ECOLOGY
11934431	ANIMAL PRODUCTION
11934461	HORTICULTURE I
11934462	HORTICULTURE II
11977339	AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INDEPENDENT STUDY
12001100	INTRODUCTION TO BUSINESS SUPPORT SYSTEMS
12001200	BUSINESS VENTURE
12001300	INTRODUCTION TO KEYBOARDING
12022800	WORD PROCESSING APPLICATIONS
12031500	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS
12112120	SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND DESIGN I
12112121	SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND DESIGN II
12112122	SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND DESIGN III
12205221	FOOD PRODUCTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES I
12205222	FOOD PRODUCTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES II

Nontraditional for Females (continued)

PEIMS Number	Course
12311140	EXPLORING CONSTRUCTION TECHNOLOGY
12311160	EXPLORING MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY
12321200	TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION
12331320	TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS
12331321	TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS (MODULAR COMPUTER LABORATORY-BASED)
12331330	ENGINEERING PRINCIPLES
12341430	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS
12341440	CONSTRUCTION SYSTEMS
12341470	COMPUTER APPLICATIONS
12362620	ARCHITECTURAL GRAPHICS
12362630	ENGINEERING GRAPHICS
12362650	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY
12362670	ELECTRICITY/ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY
12382820	PRINCIPLES OF TECHNOLOGY I
12382830	PRINCIPLES OF TECHNOLOGY II
12422033	TECHNOLOGY IN MARKETING
12511101	ARCHITECTURAL DRAFTING I
12511102	ARCHITECTURAL DRAFTING II
12511103	ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURAL DRAFTING
12511701	ENGINEERING COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING I

Nontraditional for Females (continued)

PEIMS Number	Course
12511702	ENGINEERING COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING II
12511703	ADVANCED COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING III
12511704	COMPUTER GRAPHICS AND MACHINE DRAFTING
12511705	TECHNICAL INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING
12511903	GRAPHICS ARTS I
12511904	GRAPHICS ARTS II
12511905	INTRODUCTION TO GRAPHIC COMMUNICATION CAREERS
12522501	BUILDING MAINTENANCE I
12522502	BUILDING MAINTENANCE II
12522701	ARCHITECTURAL BLUEPRINTS AND SPECIFICATIONS
12523101	HEATING, VENTILATION, AIR-CONDITIONING AND REFRIGERATION I
12523301	BRICKLAYING/STONE MASONRY I
12523701	PIPING TRADES/PLUMBING I
12534501	COMPUTER CABLING AND DESIGN
12534504	INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTER MAINTENANCE
12534901	MAJOR APPLIANCE SERVICE TECHNOLOGY I
12534902	MAJOR APPLIANCE SERVICE TECHNOLOGY II
12535101	FUNDAMENTALS OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS
12557301	MACHINE SHOP I
12557302	MACHINE SHOP II

Nontraditional for Females (continued)

PEIMS Number	Course
12557501	METAL TRADES I
12557502	METAL TRADES II
12557701	SHEET METAL I
12557702	SHEET METAL II
12557901	WELDING I
12557902	WELDING II
12568701	BASIC COUNTY CORRECTIONS OFFICER
12568704	CRIME IN AMERICA
12568705	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION
12568709	INTRODUCTION TO SECURITY SERVICES
12568710	SECURITY SERVICES
12578901	AEROSPACE AVIATION TECHNOLOGY I
12578902	AEROSPACE AVIATION TECHNOLOGY II
12578903	AIRCRAFT MECHANICS I
12578904	AIRCRAFT MECHANICS II
12579101	AUTOMOTIVE SPECIALIZATION
12579102	AUTOMOTIVE TECHNICIAN I
12579103	AUTOMOTIVE TECHNICIAN II
12579105	TRANSPORTATION SERVICE TECHNICIAN

Nontraditional for Females (continued)

PEIMS Number	Course
12579106	AUTOMOTIVE TECHNICIAN III
12579301	AUTOMOTIVE COLLISION REPAIR AND REFINISHING TECHNOLOGY I
12579302	AUTOMOTIVE COLLISION REPAIR AND REFINISHING TECHNOLOGY II
12579501	DIESEL MECHANICS I
12579901	SMALL ENGINE REPAIR I
12579902	SMALL ENGINE REPAIR II
12580001	TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION INDEPENDENT STUDY
N1192220	AGRICULTURAL ALGEBRAIC EXPLORATION
N1192327	GIS INTERNSHIP IN AGRICULTURE
N1193440	FLORICULTURE I
N1202272	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORKING II
N1205006	OPERATING SYSTEMS II
N1205007	OPERATING SYSTEMS III
N1205008	OPERATING SYSTEMS IV
N1205009	NETWORK ADMINISTRATION I
N1205010	NETWORK ADMINISTRATION II
N1205013	ADVANCED OPERATING SYSTEMS III
N1205014	ADVANCED OPERATING SYSTEMS IV
N1211203	INTRODUCTION TO BIOTECHNOLOGY
N1220501	CULINARY ARTS I

Nontraditional for Females (continued)

PEIMS Number	Course
N1220502	CULINARY ARTS II
N1220504	INTERNATIONAL CUISINE
N1220505	INTRODUCTION TO CULINARY ARTS
N1236202	MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING
N1236208	TECH WORLD MANUFACTURING
N1236212	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS II
N1237207	AEROSPACE ENGINEERING
N1256820	INTRODUCTION TO CULINARY ARTS AND ENTERPRENEURIAL PRINCIPLES
N1256821	CULINARY ARTS I
N1256822	CULINARY ARTS II
N1256886	FIREFIGHTER
N1256887	FIREFIGHTER II
N1295005	INDUSTRIAL & ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY
N1295012	BASIC COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY II
N1295013	INTRODUCTION TO INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
N1295015	INTERNETWORKING TECHNOLOGIES III
N1295016	INTERNETWORKING TECHNOLOGIES IV

Nontraditional for Males

PEIMS Number	Course
12001400	INTRODUCTION TO RECORDKEEPING
12011300	BUSINESS SUPPORT SYSTEMS
12011400	INTRODUCTION TO BUSINESS
12011600	RECORDKEEPING
12022100	ACCOUNTING I
12022200	BANKING AND FINANCIAL SYSTEMS
12031100	ACCOUNTING II
12101400	HEALTH SCIENCE TECHNOLOGY II
12101500	HEALTH SCIENCE TECHNOLOGY III
12121240	CLINICAL NUTRITION
12121260	MENTAL HEALTH
12202310	FAMILY HEALTH NEEDS
12203421	CHILD CARE AND GUIDANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES I
12203422	CHILD CARE AND GUIDANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES II
12204210	NUTRITION AND FOOD SCIENCE
12204310	FOOD SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
12568101	COSMETOLOGY I
12568102	COSMETOLOGY II
12568103	INTRODUCTION TO COSMETOLOGY

Nontraditional for Males (continued)

PEIMS Number	Course
N1192230	INTRODUCTION TO VETERINARY ASSISTANT TECHNOLOGY
N1192340	VETERINARY MEDICAL ASSISTANT I
N1192341	VETERINARY MEDICAL ASSISTANT II
N1220301	EARLY CHILDHOOD PROFESSIONS I
N1220302	EARLY CHILDHOOD PROFESSIONS II
N1220304	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHER ASSISTANT
N1220305	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHING INTERNSHIP
N1220307	READY, SET, TEACH! I
N1220308	READY, SET, TEACH! II
N1220403	SPORTS NUTRITION
N1256811	COSMETOLOGY (MANICURIST SPECIALITY)
N1256812	COSMETOLOGY (SHAMPOO AND CONDITIONING SPECIALIST)
N1256814	COSMETOLOGY II (MANICURIST)
N1256817	COSMETOLOGY HAIR WEAVING/BRAIDING

Appendix: B

2010 Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System State Report

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
2010 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM
STATE LONGITUDINAL REPORT

Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language

INDICATOR	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
	2010 STANDARD (%)	2010 STATE RATE	2010 NUMERATOR	2010 DENOMINATOR	2010 PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2010 STATE RATE	2009 STATE RATE	2008 STATE RATE	2008 - 2010 CHANGE*
1. BE ENGLISH TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE									
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	85.2	98,482	115,573	0	85.2	82.0	79.6	5.6
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	79.1	73,119	92,391	0	79.1	75.0	71.8	7.3
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	74.5	23,164	31,087	0	74.5	66.1	61.6	12.9
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	93.7	666	711	0	93.7	94.4	92.0	1.7
(v) WRITING	70.0	88.9	24,850	27,946	0	88.9	87.2	86.4	2.5
2. ESL ENGLISH TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE									
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	65.9	103,101	156,521	0	65.9	59.7	54.0	11.9
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	64.4	100,376	155,765	1	64.4	56.4	53.5	10.9
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	47.9	24,699	51,616	1	47.9	38.1	32.3	15.6
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	80.1	31,917	39,826	0	80.1	68.0	62.3	17.8
(v) WRITING	70.0	82.4	33,079	40,136	0	82.4	78.9	72.9	9.5
3. BE SPANISH TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE									
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	72.8	27,589	37,887	0	72.8	65.8	67.2	5.6
(ii) READING	70.0	84.2	51,057	60,624	0	84.2	82.5	80.5	3.7
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	52.7	1,309	2,484	1	52.7	44.1	38.2	14.5
(iv) WRITING	70.0	93.8	20,529	21,894	0	93.8	91.6	90.2	3.6
4. ESL SPANISH TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE									
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	64.0	48	75	0	64.0	56.5	56.5	7.5
(ii) READING	70.0	82.7	134	162	0	82.7	74.9	71.6	11.1
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	30.4	7	23	Not Assigned	30.4	33.3	25.0	5.4
(iv) WRITING	70.0	87.8	43	49	0	87.8	75.8	84.2	3.6
5. LEP (NOT SERVED IN BE/ESL) TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE									
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	73.2	16,994	23,205	0	73.2	68.5		
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	76.0	17,593	23,148	0	76.0	69.3		
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	58.7	3,772	6,427	0	58.7	48.8		
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	81.6	3,122	3,824	0	81.6	72.5		
(v) WRITING	70.0	87.7	5,630	6,417	0	87.7	84.6		
6. LEP YEAR-AFTER-EXIT ENGLISH TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE									
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	88.4	67,119	75,925	0	88.4	85.6	83.3	5.1
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	91.3	69,456	76,081	0	91.3	87.6	87.0	4.3
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	84.7	28,922	34,161	0	84.7	77.0	73.1	11.6
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	94.4	12,287	13,021	0	94.4	88.5	86.5	7.9
(v) WRITING	70.0	96.8	21,464	22,178	0	96.8	96.0	94.2	2.6

*FOR TAKS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: To ensure comparability across years, the 2008 and 2009 state rates for all applicable TAKS performance indicators were recalculated to include TAKS(Accommodated) results for all grades and subjects and exclude results for Grade 6 Spanish TAKS and the second administration of Grade 3 TAKS reading.

FOR GRADUATION RATE INDICATORS: Graduation rates for classes in which the national dropout definition is being phased in (i.e., classes of 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009) are not comparable to rates for the class of 2005 and prior classes, nor are they comparable to each other.

Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2010 Manual' at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=3843&menu_id=2147483683.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
2010 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM
STATE LONGITUDINAL REPORT

Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language (cont.)

INDICATOR	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
	2010 STANDARD (%)	2010 STATE RATE	2010 NUMERATOR	2010 DENOMINATOR	2010 PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2010 STATE RATE	2009 STATE RATE	2008 STATE RATE	2008 - 2010 CHANGE*
7. LEP PARTICIPATION RATE	90.0	91.0	347,730	382,301	0	91.0	90.3	89.9**	1.1
8. LEP ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE (GRADES 7-12)	2.0	3.2	5,129	158,350	1	3.2	3.7	4.8	-1.6
9. LEP ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE (GRADES 9-12)		5.1	4,722	92,267	Report Only	5.1	5.8		
10. LEP RHSP/DAP DIPLOMA RATE	70.0	64.8	5,273	8,142	1	64.8	58.7	51.2	13.6
11. LEP GRADUATION RATE	75.0	49.2	6,758	13,742	2	49.2	44.2	39.3	
12. TEPAS READING MULTI-YEAR BEGINNING PROFICIENCY LEVEL RATE	3.0	3.3	11,360	340,728	1	3.3	3.9		
13. TEPAS COMPOSITE RATING LEVELS FOR STUDENTS IN U.S. SCHOOLS MULTIPLE YEARS	10.0	11.6	19,002	163,412	1	11.6	12.7		

*FOR TAKS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: To ensure comparability across years, the 2008 and 2009 state rates for all applicable TAKS performance indicators were recalculated to include TAKS(Accommodated) results for all grades and subjects and exclude results for Grade 6 Spanish TAKS and the second administration of Grade 3 TAKS reading.

**Updated 2008 student assessment participation rates are presented.

FOR GRADUATION RATE INDICATORS: Graduation rates for classes in which the national dropout definition is being phased in (i.e., classes of 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009) are not comparable to rates for the class of 2005 and prior classes, nor are they comparable to each other.

Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMA 2010 Manual' at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=3843&menu_id=2147483683.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
2010 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM
STATE LONGITUDINAL REPORT

INDICATOR	Career and Technical Education					(e) 2010 PERFORMANCE LEVEL	(f) 2010 STATE RATE	(g) 2009 STATE RATE	(h) 2008 STATE RATE	(i) 2008 - 2010 CHANGE*
	(a) 2010 STANDARD (%)	(b) 2010 STATE RATE	(c) 2010 NUMERATOR	(d) 2010 DENOMINATOR						
1. CTE TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE										
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	82.0	224,232	273,408	0	82.0	75.7	71.8	10.2	
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	93.4	259,228	277,558	0	93.4	91.8	89.5	3.9	
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	85.6	173,593	202,770	0	85.6	79.2	75.2	10.4	
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	96.5	196,422	203,502	0	96.5	95.2	93.2	3.3	
2. CTE LEP TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE										
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	53.2	5,365	10,093	1	53.2	40.3	32.7	20.5	
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	57.5	5,897	10,247	2	57.5	49.7	43.4	14.1	
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	48.2	3,240	6,726	1	48.2	34.5	27.7	20.5	
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	81.3	5,467	6,721	0	81.3	71.6	63.6	17.7	
3. CTE ECON TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE										
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	76.4	108,969	142,679	0	76.4	68.2	63.0	13.4	
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	90.7	131,838	145,335	0	90.7	88.1	84.7	6.0	
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	79.9	81,663	102,255	0	79.9	70.6	65.2	14.7	
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	95.1	97,566	102,638	0	95.1	92.7	89.8	5.3	
4. CTE SPED TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE										
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	40.1	5,190	12,958	2	40.1	29.7	22.4	17.7	
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	63.4	9,797	15,456	1	63.4	56.7	50.1	13.3	
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	51.8	5,197	10,042	1	51.8	40.6	32.6	19.2	
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	79.2	8,884	11,222	0	79.2	75.0	65.7	13.5	
5. CTE TECH PREP TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE										
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	84.1	96,161	114,395	0	84.1	78.7	74.8	9.3	
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	94.2	109,204	115,930	0	94.2	92.9	91.0	3.2	
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	87.3	75,929	86,969	0	87.3	81.2	77.2	10.1	
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	97.0	84,743	87,319	0	97.0	95.8	94.4	2.6	

*FOR TAKS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: To ensure comparability across years, the 2008 and 2009 state rates for all applicable TAKS performance indicators were recalculated to include TAKS(Accommodated) results for all grades and subjects and exclude results for Grade 6 Spanish TAKS and the second administration of Grade 3 TAKS reading.

FOR GRADUATION RATE INDICATORS: Graduation rates for classes in which the national dropout definition is being phased in (i.e., classes of 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009) are not comparable to rates for the class of 2005 and prior classes, nor are they comparable to each other.

Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2010 Manual' at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=3843&menu_id=2147483683.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
2010 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM
STATE LONGITUDINAL REPORT

Career and Technical Education (cont.)

INDICATOR	(a) 2010 STANDARD (%)	(b) 2010 STATE RATE	(c) 2010 NUMERATOR	(d) 2010 DENOMINATOR	(e) 2010 PERFORMANCE LEVEL	(f) 2010 STATE RATE	(g) 2009 STATE RATE	(h) 2008 STATE RATE	(i) 2008 - 2010 CHANGE *
6. CTE ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE (GRADES 9-12)	3.0	1.5	6,481	426,864	0	1.5	1.9	2.5	-1.0
7. CTE RHSP/DAP DIPLOMA RATE	70.0	84.5	102,497	121,324	0	84.5	82.7	79.2	5.3
8. CTE GRADUATION RATE	75.0	91.5	118,599	129,635	0	91.5	87.5	85.5	
9. CTE NONTRADITIONAL COURSES COMPLETION RATE -- MALE	40.0	41.3	69,479	168,398	0	41.3	40.3	39.2	2.1
10. CTE NONTRADITIONAL COURSES COMPLETION RATE -- FEMALE	35.0	36.4	72,100	197,998	0	36.4	36.1	35.3	1.1

*FOR TAKS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: To ensure comparability across years, the 2008 and 2009 state rates for all applicable TAKS performance indicators were recalculated to include TAKS(Accommodated) results for all grades and subjects and exclude results for Grade 6 Spanish TAKS and the second administration of Grade 3 TAKS reading.

FOR GRADUATION RATE INDICATORS: Graduation rates for classes in which the national dropout definition is being phased in (i.e., classes of 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009) are not comparable to rates for the class of 2005 and prior classes, nor are they comparable to each other.

FOR COURSE COMPLETION INDICATORS: Beginning with the 2008-2009 school year, a student's CTE status is determined by data collected during the PEIMS Summer submission. In prior years, the status could only be determined by the Fall enrollment submission. This reporting change, which was incorporated into 2010 PBMAS data processing, contributed to a significant increase in the number of CTE students included in these indicators in 2010 compared to previous years.

Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2010 Manual' at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=3843&menu_id=2147483683.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
2010 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM
STATE LONGITUDINAL REPORT

INDICATOR	No Child Left Behind				(e) 2010 PERFORMANCE LEVEL	(f) 2010 STATE RATE	(g) 2009 STATE RATE	(h) 2008 STATE RATE	(i) 2008 - 2010 CHANGE*
	(a) 2010 STANDARD (%)	(b) 2010 STATE RATE	(c) 2010 NUMERATOR	(d) 2010 DENOMINATOR					
1. TITLE I, PART A TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE									
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	81.7	1,379,704	1,688,617	0	81.7	77.8	75.5	6.2
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	87.6	1,483,553	1,694,387	0	87.6	83.8	83.6	4.0
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	79.7	515,074	646,422	0	79.7	72.8	68.8	10.9
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	94.3	386,774	410,304	0	94.3	90.7	88.6	5.7
(v) WRITING	70.0	92.0	397,923	432,505	0	92.0	90.4	89.2	2.8
2. TITLE I, PART A ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE (GRADES 7-12)	2.0	2.5	22,965	935,462	1	2.5	2.8	3.4	-0.9
3. TITLE I, PART A RHSP/DAP DIPLOMA RATE		84.0	80,718	96,106	Report Only	84.0	82.4		
4. TITLE I, PART A GRADUATION RATE	75.0	74.3	89,696	120,710	1	74.3	71.8	70.6	
5. MIGRANT TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE									
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	73.3	15,530	21,175	0	73.3	68.2	65.5	7.8
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	78.4	16,702	21,301	0	78.4	74.0	73.4	5.0
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	69.8	6,165	8,835	0	69.8	59.2	55.3	14.5
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	90.1	5,776	6,412	0	90.1	84.6	82.6	7.5
(v) WRITING	70.0	87.9	4,101	4,665	0	87.9	85.9	83.5	4.4
6. MIGRANT ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE (GRADES 7-12)	2.0	3.1	574	18,737	1	3.1	3.6	4.3	-1.2
7. MIGRANT RHSP/DAP DIPLOMA RATE	70.0	86.6	1,674	1,932	0	86.6	85.2	80.1	6.5
8. MIGRANT GRADUATION RATE	75.0	69.3	1,935	2,794	1	69.3	66.3	60.1	

*FOR TAKS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: To ensure comparability across years, the 2008 and 2009 state rates for all applicable TAKS performance indicators were recalculated to include TAKS(Accommodated) results for all grades and subjects and exclude results for Grade 6 Spanish TAKS and the second administration of Grade 3 TAKS reading.

FOR GRADUATION RATE INDICATORS: Graduation rates for classes in which the national dropout definition is being phased in (i.e., classes of 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009) are not comparable to rates for the class of 2005 and prior classes, nor are they comparable to each other.

Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2010 Manual' at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=3843&menu_id=2147483683.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
2010 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM
STATE LONGITUDINAL REPORT

INDICATOR	Special Education					(e) 2010 PERFORMANCE LEVEL	(f) 2010 STATE RATE	(g) 2009 STATE RATE	(h) 2008 STATE RATE	(i) 2008 - 2010 CHANGE*
	(a) 2010 STANDARD (%)	(b) 2010 STATE RATE	(c) 2010 NUMERATOR	(d) 2010 DENOMINATOR						
1. SPED TAKS/TAKS(Accommodated) PASSING RATE										
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	55.0	80,035	145,447	1	55.0	48.6	40.8	14.2	
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	66.1	102,061	154,467	1	66.1	57.2	53.5	12.6	
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	53.6	32,372	60,340	1	53.6	46.2	38.9	14.7	
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	78.5	38,053	48,477	0	78.5	72.0	64.2	14.3	
(v) WRITING	70.0	72.8	22,447	30,854	0	72.8	66.4	59.2	13.6	
2. SPED YEAR-AFTER-EXIT TAKS PASSING RATE										
(i) MATHEMATICS	60.0	82.0	15,275	18,638	0	82.0	77.5	72.8	9.2	
(ii) READING/ELA	70.0	87.4	16,294	18,650	0	87.4	83.3	81.3	6.1	
(iii) SCIENCE	55.0	79.7	4,957	6,221	0	79.7	73.4	66.7	13.0	
(iv) SOCIAL STUDIES	70.0	94.0	3,225	3,431	0	94.0	90.2	86.4	7.6	
(v) WRITING	70.0	90.2	4,514	5,007	0	90.2	88.1	84.8	5.4	
3. SPED TAKS/TAKS(Accom.) PARTICIPATION RATE	50.0	41.8	124,588	297,779	1	41.8	43.6	51.2**	-9.4	
4. SPED TAKS-M PARTICIPATION RATE	20.0	31.1	92,472	297,779	1	31.1	29.3	24.1**	7.0	
5. SPED TAKS-ALT PARTICIPATION RATE	10.0	8.3	24,793	297,779	0	8.3	7.4	6.0**	2.3	
6. SPED LESS RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (AGES 3-5)	16.0	16.4	6,844	41,722	0	16.4	15.9	14.6	1.8	
7. SPED LESS RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (AGES 6-11)	40.0	39.3	66,265	168,703	1	39.3	40.0	39.7	-0.4	
8. SPED LESS RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (AGES 12-21)	65.0	64.6	143,910	222,667	1	64.6	63.3	59.8	4.8	
9. SPED ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE (GRADES 7-12)	2.0	2.5	5,845	231,501	1	2.5	2.8	3.2	-0.7	
10. SPED RHSP/DAP DIPLOMA RATE	16.5	24.5	6,360	25,929	0	24.5	21.9	20.5	4.0	
11. SPED GRADUATION RATE	75.0	71.8	23,856	33,209	1	71.8	69.8	70.3		

*FOR TAKS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: To ensure comparability across years, the 2008 and 2009 state rates for all applicable TAKS performance indicators were recalculated to include TAKS(Accommodated) results for all grades and subjects and exclude results for Grade 6 Spanish TAKS and the second administration of Grade 3 TAKS reading.

**Updated 2008 student assessment participation rates are presented.

FOR GRADUATION RATE INDICATORS: Graduation rates for classes in which the national dropout definition is being phased in (i.e., classes of 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009) are not comparable to rates for the class of 2005 and prior classes, nor are they comparable to each other.

Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2010 Manual' at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=3843&menu_id=2147483683.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
2010 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM
STATE LONGITUDINAL REPORT

Special Education (cont.)										
INDICATOR	(a) 2010 STANDARD (%)	(b) 2010 STATE RATE	(c) 2010 NUMERATOR	(d) 2010 DENOMINATOR	(e) 2010 PERFORMANCE LEVEL	(f) 2010 STATE RATE	(g) 2009 STATE RATE	(h) 2008 STATE RATE	(i) 2008 - 2010 CHANGE*	
12. SPED REPRESENTATION	8.5	9.0	434,733	4,837,250	1	9.0	9.3	9.9	-0.9	
13. SPED AFRICAN AMERICAN REPRESENTATION	1.0	3.8			1	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	
SPED AFRICAN AMERICAN		17.8	77,553	434,733						
ALL AFRICAN AMERICAN		14.0	677,076	4,837,250						
14. SPED HISPANIC REPRESENTATION	1.0	-3.6			0	-3.6	-3.9	-3.9	0.3	
SPED HISPANIC		45.0	195,555	434,733						
ALL HISPANIC		48.6	2,349,914	4,837,250						
15. SPED LEP REPRESENTATION	1.0	-1.9			0	-1.9	-1.2	0.1	-2.0	
SPED LEP		15.0	64,995	434,733						
ALL LEP		16.9	816,408	4,837,250						
16. SPED DISCRETIONARY DAEP PLACEMENTS	1.0	1.4			1	1.4	1.7	1.6	-0.2	
SPED DAEP PLACEMENTS		3.0	16,072	540,819						
ALL DAEP PLACEMENTS		1.6	81,226	5,174,949						
17. SPED DISCRETIONARY PLACEMENTS TO ISS	10.0	20.4			1	20.4	22.6	22.5	-2.1	
SPED ISS PLACEMENTS		51.5	278,551	540,819						
ALL ISS PLACEMENTS		31.1	1,609,108	5,174,949						
18. SPED DISCRETIONARY PLACEMENTS TO OSS	6.0	11.6			1	11.6	13.0	12.7	-1.1	
SPED OSS PLACEMENTS		22.5	121,827	540,819						
ALL OSS PLACEMENTS		10.9	565,211	5,174,949						

*FOR TAKS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: To ensure comparability across years, the 2008 and 2009 state rates for all applicable TAKS performance indicators were recalculated to include TAKS(Accommodated) results for all grades and subjects and exclude results for Grade 6 Spanish TAKS and the second administration of Grade 3 TAKS reading.

FOR GRADUATION RATE INDICATORS: Graduation rates for classes in which the national dropout definition is being phased in (i.e., classes of 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009) are not comparable to rates for the class of 2005 and prior classes, nor are they comparable to each other.

Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMA 2010 Manual' at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=3843&menu_id=2147483683.

Appendix C: Comments, Questions, and Review of Incorrect Performance Level Assignments

COMMENTS, QUESTIONS, AND REVIEW OF INCORRECT PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENTS:

The agency welcomes comments and questions concerning PBMAS district performance levels. In addition, if a district determines that one or more 2011 PBMAS performance level assignments were based on a data or calculation error attributable to the Texas Education Agency or one of the agency's data contractors, the district should submit specific information about the agency's data error, no later than September 14, 2011, to the address below. Requests based on disagreement with the 2011 PBMAS indicators, standards, cut-points, and methodologies adopted in rule or requests based on districts' data errors will not be considered.

Address: Texas Education Agency
 Division of Performance-Based Monitoring
 1701 North Congress Avenue
 Austin, Texas 78701-1494

Phone: (512) 936-6426

E-mail: pbm@tea.state.tx.us

Other Helpful Contact Information:

Division: Program Monitoring and Interventions
 Phone: (512) 463-5226
 Fax: (512) 463-3136
 Email: pmidivision@tea.state.tx.us

Division: Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language Unit
 Phone: (512) 463-9581
 Fax: (512) 463-8057
 Email: curric@tea.state.tx.us

Division: Career and Technical Education Unit
 Phone: (512) 463-9581
 Fax: (512) 463-8057
 Email: curric@tea.state.tx.us

Division: NCLB Program Coordination
 Phone: (512) 463-9374
 Fax: (512) 305-9447
 Email: nclb@tea.state.tx.us

Division: IDEA Coordination
 Phone: (512) 463-9414
 Fax: (512) 463-9560
 Email: sped@tea.state.tx.us

Division: Student Assessment
 Phone: (512) 463-9536
 Fax: (512) 463-9302
 Email: studenta@tea.state.tx.us

Division: Performance Reporting
 Phone: (512) 463-9704
 Fax: (512) 475-3584
 Email: perf rept@tea.state.tx.us

Appendix D: Performance-Based Monitoring Focus Group Membership

Name	Role	Organization	ESC Region
Ms. Rebecca Rodriguez	Special Education Director	Hidalgo ISD	1
Mrs. Graciela Pizzini	Associate Director, Teaching & Learning	Region 2 ESC	2
Ms. Sonya Little	Superintendent	Nordheim ISD	3
Ms. Diana Kelm	Deputy Superintendent	Livingston ISD	6
Dr. Rodney Hutto	Superintendent	Nacogdoches ISD	7
Mr. Terry Lopic	Superintendent	East Texas Charter Schools	7
Ms. DiAnn Bransford	Curriculum Director	Redwater ISD	8
Mr. Tom Bailey	Superintendent	Olney ISD	9
Ms. Diane Gough	Principal	Midway ISD	12
Mr. Craig Henderson	Associate Director	Region 13 ESC	13
Ms. Gail Haterius	Superintendent	Clyde CISD	14
Mr. Rodney Sumner	Superintendent	Spearman ISD	16
Ms. Gloria Moore	Special Education Director	Slaton ISD/SELCO SSA	17
Ms. Kaye Orr	Coordinator of Accountability	Region 18 ESC	18
Ms. Raquel Cortez	Coordinator of Accountability	Ysleta ISD	19
Dr. Lana Collavo	Superintendent	Jourdanton ISD	20

Appendix E: ESC Performance-Based Monitoring Contacts

Full Name	Region	City	Phone	Email Address
DR LISA CONNER	1	EDINBURG	(956) 984-6027	lconner@esc1.net
DAN BAEN	2	CORPUS CHRISTI	(361) 561-8415	dan.baen@esc2.us
DR SONIA A PEREZ	2	CORPUS CHRISTI	(361) 561-8407	sonia.perez@esc2.us
NANCY SANDLIN	3	VICTORIA	(361) 573-0731 ext:252	nsandlin@esc3.net
KATHY GRAHAM	3	VICTORIA	(361) 573-0731 ext:324	kgraham@esc3.net
SHERRI MCCORD	4	HOUSTON	(713) 744-6596	smccord@esc4.net
SHARON BENKA	4	HOUSTON	(713) 744-6358	sbenka@esc4.net
FRED SHAFER, JR	4	HOUSTON	(713) 744-6586	fshafer@esc4.net
MONICA MAHFOUZ	5	BEAUMONT	(409) 923-5411	mmahfouz@esc5.net
JAYNE TAVENNER	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8242	jtavenner@esc6.net
BETH NESMITH	6	HUNTSVILLE	(936) 435-8252	bnesmith@esc6.net
SHARON LUSK	7	KILGORE	(903) 988-6908	slusk@esc7.net
PAM ALBRITTON	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 572-8551 ext:2762	palbritton@reg8.net
KARLA COKER	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 572-8551 ext:2731	kcoker@reg8.net
SHERYL PAPP	8	MT PLEASANT	(903) 572-8551 ext:2781	spappa@reg8.net
MICKI WESLEY	9	WICHITA FALLS	(940) 322-6928 ext:370	micki.wesley@esc9.net
JEAN ASHTON	9	WICHITA FALLS	(940) 322-6928	jean.ashton@esc9.net
WES PIERCE	9	WICHITA FALLS	(940) 322-6928	wes.pierce@esc9.net
ANJELA SCHLEGEL	9	WICHITA FALLS	(940) 322-6928	Anjela.Schlegel@esc9.net
JAN MOBERLEY	10	RICHARDSON	(972) 348-1426	jan.moberley@region10.org
KATHY WRIGHT-CHAPMAN	11	FORT WORTH	(817) 740-7546	KWC@esc11.net
STEPHANIE KUCERA	12	WACO	(254) 297-1154	skucera@esc12.net
ANGELA COWAN	12	WACO	(254) 297-1186	acowan@esc12.net
CHRISTINE HOLECEK	12	WACO	(254) 297-1284	cholecek@esc12.net
LOU ANN OLSON	12	WACO	(254) 297-1116	lolson@esc12.net

Full Name	Region	City	Phone	Email Address
CRAIG HENDERSON	13	AUSTIN	(512) 919-5390	craig.henderson@esc13.txed.net
EMILIA MORENO	14	ABILENE	(325) 675-8610	emoreno@esc14.net
JUDY LISEWSKY	15	SAN ANGELO	(325) 658-6571 ext:158	judy.lisewsky@netxv.net
SHIRLEY CLARK	16	AMARILLO	(806) 677-5130	shirley.clark@esc16.net
FRANCISCO RODRIGUEZ	17	LUBBOCK	(806) 281-5890	frodriquez@esc17.net
KAYE ORR	18	MIDLAND	(432) 567-3244	kayeorr@esc18.net
JOHN PETREE	18	MIDLAND	(432) 561-4385	jpetree@esc18.net
LEE LENTZ-EDWARDS	18	MIDLAND	(432) 563-2380	llentz@esc18.net
REBECCA ONTIVEROS	19	EL PASO	(915) 780-5093	rontiveros@esc19.net
ANTHONY FRAGA	19	EL PASO	(915) 780-6553	afraga@esc19.net
SHEILA COLLAZO	20	SAN ANTONIO	(210) 370-5481	sheila.collazo@esc20.net
DAWN WHITE	20	SAN ANTONIO	(210) 370-5402	dawn.white@esc20.net

PUBLICATION ORDER FORM

Date _____

Remitter Name _____

Send to (name, if different) _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

To place an order for a publication, fill out the information below and make check or money order payable to: Texas Education Agency

Quantity	Title of documents requested	Publication No.	Cost	TOTAL
1	2011 Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System Manual	GE11-605-01	\$12.00	
<i>Price includes postage, handling, and state tax.</i>				

FOR TAX EXEMPT ORDERS ONLY				
Make check or money order payable to: Texas Education Agency. Purchase orders are accepted only from Texas educational institutions and government agencies.				
Quantity	Title of documents requested	Publication No.	Cost	TOTAL
1	2011 Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System Manual	GE11-605-01	\$10.00	
<i>Prices include postage and handling.</i>				

IF YOU ARE MAILING A PURCHASE ORDER* OR NEED INFORMATION, MAIL TO:

Texas Education Agency
Publications Distribution
1701 North Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78701-1494

***Purchase orders are accepted
ONLY from**
**Texas Funded Educational Institutions and
Texas Government Agencies**

IF YOU ARE MAILING A CHECK OR MONEY ORDER, REMIT THIS FORM WITH PAYMENT TO:

Texas Education Agency
Publications Distribution
P.O. Box 13817
Austin, Texas 78711-3817

**Make check or money order payable to:
Texas Education Agency.**

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Texas Education Agency
GE11 605 01

