Figure: 19 TAC §109.1001(f)(1)
Charter FIRST - Rating Worksheet Dated April 2015 for Rating Year 2014-2015
Fiscal Year Ended June 30,, or August 31,
County-District #
Charter School Name:

Indicator number	Charter FIRST: 2014-2015 Ratings based on Fiscal Year 2014 data					
Critical Indicators						
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?	Yes	No			
2	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.	Yes	No			
3	Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? If the charter school was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the charter is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current.	Yes	No			
4	Was the total net asset balance in the Statement of Financial Position for the charter school greater than zero? (If the charter school's 5 year percent change in students was a 10 percent increase or more, the charter school passes this indicator).	Yes	No			

	Solvency Indicators	Points
5	Was the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?	10

Financial Competence Indicators					
6	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the charter school's AFR result in an aggregate variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses?	10			
7	Was the AFR free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? The AICPA defines material weakness. The external independent auditor determines if there are any instances of material weakness.	10			

Maximum possible points 30

Page 1 Charter Schools 14-15

	Charter FIRST Determination of Points																			
Indicator number										0										
5 ADA Size	Threshold Ratio (based on ADA size)																			
1,000 and Above	<=	0.087	^	0.088	<=	0.142	^	0.143	<=	0.191	>	0.192	<=	0.239	^	0.240	<=	0.288	^	0.289
500 to 999	<=	0.090	>	0.091	<=	0.152	>	0.153	<=	0.214	>	0.215	<=	0.276	>	0.277	<=	0.330	>	0.331
Less than 500	<=	0.094	^	0.095	<=	0.163	>	0.164	<=	0.238	>	0.239	<=	0.314	>	0.315	<=	0.390	>	0.391

Indicator number	10	0
6	Yes	No
7	Yes	No

Determination of Charter School Rating	
Did the charter school fail any of the critical indicators 1 through 4? If so, then the charter school's rating is F for Substandard Achievement, regardless of points earned.	
Determine the rating by the applicable number of points.	Points
P = Pass	16 through 30
$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{Substandard\ Achievement\ } (The\ charter\ school\ receives\ an\ F\ if\ it\ scores\ below\ the$ minimum passing score, or if it failed any critical indicator 1 through 4, or if the AFR and the data were not complete, or if either the AFR or the data were not submitted on time for FIRST analysis.)	0 through 15

For questions, call Financial Acc	countability at (512) 463-9095	
Completed by		

Page 2 Charter Schools 14-15

	Charter FIRST - Rating Worksheet Calculations Dated April 2015 for Rating Year 2014-2015							
	Indicator	Calculation Defined						
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?	No Calculation Involved						
2	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.	No Calculation Involved						
3	Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? If the charter school was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the charter is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current.	No Calculation Involved						
4	Was the total net asset balance in the Statement of Financial Position for the charter school greater than zero? (If the charter school's 5 year percent change in students in membership was a 10 percent increase or more, then the charter school passes this indicator).	A > B OR (((C - D) / D) x 100) ≥ E, where A = Total net asset balance in the statement of financial position in the annual financial report; B = Net assets threshold, which = 0; C = Number of students in membership in base year; D = Threshold for percent change in students in membership, which = 10%						
5	Was the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?	(A / B) < threshold based on CS size, where A = Sum of amounts for function codes 21 and 41; B = Sum of amounts for function codes 11, 12, 13, and 31 *Includes object codes 61XX-64XX in fund code 420, except 6144						
6	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the charter school's AFR result in an aggregate variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses?	A / B < C, where A = Sum of the absolute values of all differences in expenses (determined by function) between the Statement of Activities and PEIMS; B = Sum of expenses for all expenses presented in the Statement of Activities; C = Threshold for percentage of data variance, which = 3%						
7	Was the AFR free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? The AICPA defines material weakness. The external independent auditor determines if there are any instances of material weakness.	No Calculation Involved						